

End Time Current Events: 2-20-11

Dr. Johnson's Main Website at: <http://contendingfortruth.com/>

1st Alternate Site--Dr. Johnson's Audio & PDF Archives at:

<http://currenteventsandbiblestudy.blogspot.com/>

2nd Alternative Site—Dr. Johnson's Audio & PDF Archives at:

<http://www.cftresources.com/>

or if you want to access a specific teaching just go up to **Youtube** and enter in the 'subject' and 'Scott Johnson' and you should be able to find it easily.

Christian Forum For My Listeners Go

to: <http://endtimesandcurrentevents.freemfhosting.com/index.php>

Contact Information: Email or to Subscribe to the Free Email Newsletter list

(There is a Christian and Health oriented newsletter, so please indicate which one/ones you would like to be added onto) : drjohnson@ix.netcom.com

Free Gift: [True Salvation and The True Gospel Good News](#)

Correspondence/Donation/Mailing Address: Scott Johnson 450 Conover Blvd. West #202 Conover, NC 28613

Table of Contents:

- All Mexican children to be registered in national biometric records-- Identity-tracking scheme assembled under radar for 'border pass' program
- Kill Switch Beta: Government Blocks 84,000 Websites
- Rick Warren Speaks Out Against Those Warning the Church of Meditation
- VCY America Interviews Saddleback Health Seminar Attendee – Apostasy Exposed!
- Rick Warren's Three Legged Stool Further Unfolds- Fasten Your Seatbelts!
- STATE-OWNED CHURCHES ARE KILLING AMERICA
- Satan's Master Plan to Destroy the Lord's Church

Ephesians 5:11, 13-16: "And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, **but rather reprove them. But all things that are reprov'd are made manifest by the light:** for whatsoever doth make manifest is light. Wherefore he saith, **Awake thou that sleepest, and arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee light. See then that ye walk circumspectly,** not as fools, but as wise, Redeeming the time, because the days are evil."

The 1828 Noah Webster Dictionary defines:

Reprove: To blame, to convince of a fault, or to make it manifest, to excite a sense of guilt.

Circumspectly: Cautiously; with watchfulness every way; with attention to guard against surprise or danger.

Matthew 24:24: "...if it were possible, they shall deceive **the very elect.**"

II Corinthians 2:11: "Lest Satan should get an advantage of us: for we **are not** ignorant of his devices."

II Chronicles 7:14: "If **my people**, which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land."

I John 4:18: "There is **no fear** in love; but **perfect love** casteth out fear: because fear hath torment. He that feareth is not made perfect in love."

Heb 13:6: "So that we may boldly say, The Lord *is* my helper, and I **will not fear** what man shall do

unto me."

II Timothy 1:7: "For God **hath not** given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind."

All Mexican children to be registered in national biometric records--Identity-tracking scheme assembled under radar for 'border pass' program

February 14, 2011--By Jerome R. Corsi--WorldNetDaily

Below the radar of public [opinion](#), Mexico has started to assemble the type of [biometric](#) national identity database that could be used to document names for a North American Trusted Traveler border pass card, a plan already being developed by the U.S. [Department of Homeland Security](#) for Mexican citizens.

It apparently would be similar to the program that has become commonplace in the European Union to allow free transit for EU citizens to move, live and work wherever they choose within the EU, disregarding nation-of-origin and national border restrictions.

On Jan. 19, 2011, Mexico's President Felipe Calderon signed [an executive order](#) requiring within the next five years all Mexicans 17 years old and younger have a biometric national identity card that would include a facial photograph, all 10 fingerprints, and an iris scan.

To carry out the presidential executive order, the [Mexican Directorate General of the National Population Register](#) plans to go to all elementary schools in Mexico schools in Mexico to record the required biometric [information](#) and issue individual identity cards.

Reasonable estimates are that **[by the end of 2012, Mexico plans to issue more than 25.7 million biometric identity cards to the nation's children 18 years old and younger.](#)**

Once the nation's children are recorded in this biometric national identity database, the plan is to add a second phase that will extend the biometric [identification](#) cards to Mexican adults, with a third phase designed to establish a national registry for all foreigners residing in Mexico.

The website of the Mexican Directorate General of the National Population Register, or RENAPO, [Registro Nacional de Población e Identificación Personal](#), displays a photo of a family holding an enlarged version of the new Personal Identity Card issued to the daughter:



Also available on the website of the Mexican Directorate General of the National Population Register is a [second photo that shows two smiling children](#) holding an enlarged version of the Personal Identity Card created for the girl in the photo:



The new Mexican Personal Identity Card includes a security hologram to prevent duplication or the creation of fraudulent cards; iris scan information embedded in a bar

code on the reverse of the card; various biometric information including all 10 digits in fingerprints.

Each card bears a unique personal ID number and a face photo designed to make sure that only the person to whom the card was issued can use the card.

Next to the eagle symbol of Mexico at the top of the card, **the card reads "United States of Mexico."**

Critics in Mexico [have charged](#) that the Mexican effort to enroll school children in a national biometric personal identity [database involves the early implementation of an incremental "new world order" plan designed to fit into initiatives designed under the Security and Prosperity Partnership to evolve the North American Free Trade Agreement into a continental or regional North American Union governmental structure.](#)

Read more: [All children to be registered in national biometric database](#)
<http://www.wnd.com/?pagelId=263797#ixzz1E4acThgn>

- [North American Super-State – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [The National ID & The Mark – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [The North American Union – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)

[Kill Switch Beta: Government Blocks 84,000 Websites](#)

16 Feb 2011-- (KurtNimmo) – Under the banner of fighting child pornography, the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice knocked out 84,000 websites last week. [The websites did not host or link to child pornography as the government claims.](#)

“As part of ‘[Operation Save Our Children](#)’ ICE’s Cyber Crimes Center has again seized several domain names, but not without making a huge error. Last Friday, thousands of site owners were surprised by a rather worrying banner that was placed on their domain,” reports [TorrentFreak](#), a tech site.

[“Advertisement, distribution, transportation, receipt, and possession of child pornography constitute federal crimes that carry penalties for first time offenders of up to 30 years in federal prison, a \\$250,000 fine, forfeiture and restitution,”](#) was the message visitors to the sites were greeted with after a judge signed a seizure warrant and Big Sis contacted the domain registries and instructed them to point the domains in question to a server that hosts the above warning message.

“However, somewhere in this process a mistake was made and as a result the domain of a large DNS service provider was seized,” writes Ernesto for [TorrentFreak](#). It is certainly possible although not probable the takedown was an error. [It is more likely the sites were taken offline in calculated fashion in order to send a message – government has the ability to deny a large number of websites access to the internet.](#)

In response to widespread protests and mass unrest, the authoritarian Egyptian government [shut down the internet](#) in late January. In addition, the Mubarak regime gave the order to shut off mobile phone service. “All mobile operators in Egypt have been instructed to suspend services in selected areas. Under Egyptian legislation, the

authorities have the right to issue such an order and we are obliged to comply,” explained Vodaphone.

The government wants to implement a likewise system in the United States. Senator Joe Lieberman introduced a bill that would allow the Obama administration to pull the plug on the internet. The bill would [amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002](#) enacted during the manufactured hysteria following the events of September 11, 2001.

According to the language of the bill, it would “enhance the security and resiliency of the cyber and communications infrastructure of the United States” **by allowing the president to use a figurative “kill switch” and seize control of the web in response to a Homeland Security directive.**

“Right now China, the government, can disconnect parts of its internet in case of war and we need to have that here too,” [Lieberman told CNN](#) last year.

1. [‘Kill Switch’ Internet bill alarms privacy experts](#)
2. [Senate To Sneak Through Internet Kill Switch Bill](#)

[Rick Warren Speaks Out Against Those Warning the Church of Meditation](#)

February 14th, 2011 |--by [John Lanagan](#)--[My Word Like Fire Ministries](#)

Perhaps stung by criticism about his [Daniel Plan alliance](#) with three well known New Age doctors, Warren simultaneously addressed and sidestepped the *meditation* issue during a recent sermon. **Warren never acknowledged his own promotion of teachers of anti-biblical meditative practices such as contemplative prayer, never addressed the Daniel Plan controversy, but seemed to accuse instead the Christians who sounded the alarm about Eastern/New Age meditation advocates Dr. Mehmet Oz, Dr. Daniel Amen, and Dr. Mark Hyman.**

In a seeming attempt to “spin” the continuing controversy, Rick Warren claimed Christians on the Internet have been warning *against* biblical meditation!

With more than a touch of sarcasm and making a scary, mocking, wooing sound, Rick Warren stated:

It’s called meditation. Meditation? What in the world is that? Some people think, wow, that’s scary. This has actually become a controversial word. Some very, very confused believers have been teaching—I’ve seen it on the Internet—that Christians should be afraid of meditation and run from meditation. That’s nonsense! The Bible talks about meditation and commands it.

Wait a minute. He’s “seen it on the Internet”? In reality, Rick Warren cannot identify one Bible-believing blogger or online discernment or research ministry that teaches that Christians should fear and “run from” **biblical meditation.**

Biblical meditation is simply reflecting upon or pondering the Word of God. It is wonderful, powerful, and something we are commanded to do. (Joshua 1:8)

In biblical meditation, a repetitive word or chant is never used, the mind never ceases being active, and there is never an altered state of consciousness. But this is exactly what happens during Eastern/New Age meditation. In his sermon, Warren explained it very well: “So when the Bible says meditate it’s not talking about

zone-out in some psychic, New Age trance, or put your **mind in neutral** and contemplate your navel. Ommm.”

“Ommm,” indeed. Rick Warren has played a significant role for many years in promoting contemplative prayer—the barely disguised, “Christian-ized” version of Eastern/New Age meditation. He has helped publicize very many contemplative teachers—Richard Foster and Dallas Willard (both exalted in *The Purpose Driven Church*, pp. 126-127), Henri Nouwen (a favorite of his wife’s, he says), Tricia Rhodes, Adele Calhoun, Gary Thomas (a “friend” of Warren’s), and many others (see quotes at the end of this article.)

Contemplative teachers in the Christian camp will not advise believers to focus on a mantra like “Ommm” (for example), but rather on a word or phrase like “Jesus” or “Abba Father.” In this way, the contemplative prayer appears “Christian” but nevertheless serves as entrance to the *new age*.

Many of those who practice this frequently end up believing all is in God, or God is in all—beliefs contradictory to biblical teaching and to the Gospel message of Jesus Christ. [Sue Monk Kidd](#) is just such a person. She started off as a conservative Southern Baptist Sunday School teacher, but when she was introduced to Thomas Merton, it changed her whole spiritual outlook, and eventually she became a worshipper of the goddess Sophia, saying that God is even in excrement. And should we not be concerned that her name sits on the back of Dallas Willard’s book, *The Spirit of the Disciplines*, and yet Rick Warren recommends that book on his website and shows great admiration and recognition for Willard’s role in the Spiritual Formation (contemplative prayer) movement in Warren’s book, *The Purpose Driven Church*.

The *silence* is the realm of deceptive spirits. And more and more churches, ministries, organizations, and schools are going contemplative. **For Rick Warren to stand up (just days after New Age meditation advocates Oz, Hyman, and Amen have addressed his congregation) and belittle, mock, and scorn Christians who are warning against eastern style meditation coming into the church all the while he has been promoting the teachers of such meditation for years, is astounding, not to mention very wrong.**

[VCY America Interviews Saddleback Health Seminar Attendee – Apostasy Exposed!](#)

January 28th, 2011 | Author: [A Ministry in His Service](#)

On January 27th, Ingrid Schlueter of VCY America interviewed Jennifer Pekich on the CrossTalk radio program in what is probably one of the most important shows that VCY has ever done, in our opinion. Jennifer had recently attended the health seminar at Saddleback Church, in which Rick Warren brought in three leading “health” specialists to train Saddleback’s congregants how to be healthy. CrossTalk explains:

Why should these men cause “red flags” to come up for Christians? Jennifer attended the Daniel Plan kick-off gathering on January 15. From her notes she shares how from Kundalini Yoga to Transcendental Meditation, these men are steeped in Eastern mysticism and the occult. In addition, through it all, **numerous U.N. buzz-phrases could**

be heard; phrases such as “sustainable living,” smart growth,” “public-private partnerships,” and more.

Are Rick Warren and his associates attempting to restructure the church and society by warming Christians up to a fanciful sounding global plan? Decide for yourself when you review this edition of Crosstalk.

[Click here](#) to listen to this interview. We hope every Lighthouse Trails reader will be able to listen to this one.


[Rick Warren’s Three Legged Stool Further Unfolds- Fasten Your Seatbelts!](#)

February 10th, 2011 | Author: [A Ministry in His Service](#)

LTRP Note: Earlier this week, we were sent a link to a recent video of Rick Warren talking to his congregation about the Daniel Plan. In that video, Rick Warren told the audience:

I’m very excited. We’re talking with a number of national grocery chains who are getting behind this [Daniel] plan, and I can’t announce it to you right now but let me just tell you some very exciting things. You’ll probably be reading about it in the newspapers . . . [see the video below - start at the 13:23 minute mark].

In view of both Rick Warren’s Daniel Plan that is [using New Age teachers](#) and this information about the national grocery chains involvement, please read the following article just posted by Herescope:



by Herescope

When Rick Warren launched his Daniel Plan prescription for health several weeks ago as a massive campaign to alter the health of evangelicals in American, many discerning saints expressed shock and dismay at his open association with New Age doctors. While this fact is upsetting, there are equally disturbing issues about Warren’s Daniel Plan that cannot be ignored.

With Rick Warren at the helm, the **CHURCH** is now in the **BUSINESS** of health care **REFORM**. This is the 3-legged stool. It is the integration of church/state/corporate world that business guru Peter Drucker (Rick Warren’s mentor) proposed as the method for restructuring Society. In this model the Church assumes a powerful new role as Change Agent Provocateur, a cheerleader for change and transformation in Society (as illustrated in the diagram at the top of this post*).

The pivotal book that officially launched the New Age movement was Marilyn Ferguson's *The Aquarian Conspiracy*, published in 1980. This book was "an important New Age manifesto [that] attempt[ed] to announce and popularize what the New Agers chose to publicly display in their Movement." **The book set forth futuristic thinking that has become so commonplace in our culture that an entire generation has grown up believing its basic assumptions. One of the key topics in this book was Ferguson's assertion that the radical overhaul of society could be based upon health care "reform" — a "transformation" explained in the chapter "Healing Ourselves."**

Ferguson's New Age "holistic" health care model included "Bodymind perspective," (p. 247) the exploration of the role played by the brain in the body's overall health. Ferguson's expanded definition of the "mind" **incorporated spiritual things from the occult world.** This broadened "holistic" health model, with its "body-mind-spirit" connection (p. 248), proved to be a key entry point for new theologies, philosophies and practices. **Holistic health became the the easiest vehicle with which to deceive an entire population into adopting tenets of the eastern mystical worldview.**[4]

Ferguson proposed a vast array of consciousness-expanding rituals that promised "body-mind-spirit" healing -- including "meditation, biofeedback, relaxation techniques, autogenic training..." etc.(p. 251) She also stressed the role of new psycho-spiritual technologies in altering consciousness. But note: meditation and its many related mind-altering activities weren't an end in themselves. **According to Ferguson, they were for the purpose of changing people's worldviews:** "Just as some psychotechnologies increase the fluctuation of energy through the brain, **enabling new patterns or paradigm shifts to occur**, bodywork alters the flow of energy through the body, **freeing it of its old 'ideas' or patterns**, increasing its range of movement. **Structural integration, the Alexander method, Feldenkrais, Applied Kinesiology, Neurokinesthetics, bioenergetics, Reichian therapy, and hundreds of other systems initiate transformation of the body.**"(pp. 255-6)

[Rick Warren](#) has now plunged into a role as [Change Agent](#) for health care reform in America. It is significant that he chose some genuine New Age gurus to be his partners.[7] This association provides an indication about how [Rick Warren](#) defines "health." Researcher Jennifer Pekich wrote an account of her personal experience at the Saddleback Health and Fitness Seminar. Her report on [Rick Warren's](#) new *Daniel Plan* revealed that the *real* agenda is not about healthy parishioners. **It is about radical community transformation.** She writes:

By the time I settled into listening more intently, the second speaker, Mark Hyman, began. It didn't take too long to figure out what the basis of his message was. We "need to **heal with community**" (he termed this "accompaniment"), "we're here for the sake of each other," this plan "is our way out," this plan "saved me," and in fact will "**change the world.**" Saddleback was being told they were a "**test community**" to show the world how to live "**healthy and sustainably.**"

Jennifer continues her report:

I about fell off my chair when Dr. Hyman stated, "The key to the success of the "Daniel Plan" is "**group living**"..."individuals" will not succeed, our only hope lies in "**community**." And with that, it was announced that the "**Saddleback community**" would be an example of "**sustainable living**" and would set the course to "**change this world**"...and the crowd went wild![8]

What is this *Daniel Plan*? Obviously it doesn't have anything to do with the biblical Daniel. Rick Warren was well-schooled in [Leadership Network's marketing model](#) which encourages pastors to snap up a biblical-sounding term, apply it on to any new plan or program, and voila! It becomes a [marketing logo](#)!

Being part of the community means that you go into the databank. The *Daniel Plan* is a massive health assessment for all evangelicals gullible enough to turn in personally identifiable health data to a Rick Warren's massive secretive databank.[9] The *Daniel Plan* asks users to create their own health profile online that divulges intimate medical information:

In order for us to support and guide in this journey, we need to know more about the **history of your health and your current physical state**. This **profile** will also help you **track your progress**, connect with others working the program, and get your questions answered. [<https://www.saddleback.com/thedanielplan/getstarted.html>] [emphasis added]

A broad range of health data will be collected on participants. (We guess the participants will yield their right to privacy! They will provide to Dr. Rick information that was previously confidential between them and their personal physicians.) At the *Daniel Plan* website, the "WEEK 1: Measure Your Way to Success!" explains:

Click "Get Started," and create your "**Health Profile**" where you will enter in **information** about your **current condition**. The site will **save your statistics** so you can compare your progress down the road. All the information is **private**, voluntary, and optional.

To help you get started on this journey, get your **height, weight, blood pressure, measurements, and "before" pictures taken** on your church campus this weekend. Then, you can add this information to your **profile**. We also recommend knowing your **Body Mass Index (BMI), completing the Medical Symptom/Toxicity Questionnaire (MSQ), and if possible, getting a "full blood panel."**

Coming soon...watch an in-depth video explanation from Dr. Mark Hyman regarding "**Knowing Your Numbers**." In addition, the doctors will be providing **optional quizzes** to help **gauge** your starting point.[<http://saddleback.com/thedanielplan/>][10] [emphasis added]

"Private" is not defined in the above disclaimer about collecting health information. Furthermore, this personal "Health Profile" data is being collected for broadly unspecified purposes. Who are Rick Warren's partners in this endeavor? With whom will he share this data? Will this **Church** data be passed along to the **State**? Turned over to **Corporations**? (In other words, the other two legs of the 3-legged stool)? Given Warren's close association with Bill Gates, who is heavily involved in funding health care reform around the world, one can only guess how far-and-wide the *Daniel Plan* data could be divulged.[11]

Let's just pause for a moment in this report to do a reality check about this new role of the 3rd leg of the stool. **First, what is a CHURCH doing in the BUSINESS of assessing HEALTH CARE? Second, what is a CHURCH doing amassing a huge databank of intimate health data on evangelicals? Third, what is a CHURCH doing at the forefront of the health care reform agenda? Fourth, what is a CHURCH doing working with obvious New Age gurus?**[12]

Note how cleverly Warren has maneuvered the Church into a position of Agent Provocateur. This fits in with the Dominionist "cultural renewal" model where the Church assumes a key role in managing the transformation of Society, i.e. transforming "culture." In this case, specifically health care. By assuming this pivotal role the Church is no longer the conscience of Society. It is not functioning as salt and light to a dark world. Rather it is acting as a Change Agent working in collaboration with the State and Corporate Business to facilitate transformation. As Change Agent the Church loses its prophetic voice against injustice. It becomes a collaborator with the State. This is the new "missional" church whose agenda has changed from that of preaching the Gospel and saving lost souls, to that of working on restructuring the health care "domain" (one of the "spheres" or 7 mountains) of Society.[13]

Since "health" is so broadly defined, and since "health" is what is being assessed, hard questions need to be asked about the intended performance "outcomes." Why is Dr. Hyman running numbers? What definitions will be applied to "effective" or "successful" measures of health improvement? What about those whose health is by nature inevitably physically declining -- the elderly, the incurably infirm, those who have a debilitating degenerative disease or irreversible handicap? What about those whose prognosis can only be measured in steady decline? How will they fit in with the "success statistics" that comprise "wellness"? **In Peter Drucker's utopian world only those who possess "human capital" are deemed worthy citizens, and those assessed without it have no safe place in Society.**[14]

To put the Church's role in this 3-legged stool into perspective, consider briefly **Michelle Obama's key role in maneuvering the Corporate and State legs of the stool.** A recent *New York Times* article explained:

"After wrapping her arms around the retail giant Walmart and trying to cajole food makers into producing nutrition labels that are easier to understand, Michelle Obama, an advocate of healthy eating, has set her sights on a new target: the nation's

restaurants." [15]

This article explains how the First Lady has been "seeking partnerships with industry" and meeting with representatives from the "National Restaurant Association... in a bid to get restaurants to adopt her goals of smaller portions and children's meals that include healthful offerings.... Obama and her team are also quietly pressing the levers of industry and government...." [16] Food police obviously have an interest in what is happening with the health police, and vice versa. This is all about controlling your diet - what you eat! While Rick Warren's *Daniel Plan* is busy assessing everyone's girth and monitoring their caloric intake and weight-loss results, Michelle Obama is racing to overhaul the national diet by actually changing available food choices. [17]

So while Rick Warren activates the Church leg of the stool, [18] other pressures are being brought to bear on the Corporate and State legs to bring all into conformance with global consciousness and standards. And thanks to Rick Warren's *Daniel Plan*, we are provided with an obvious clue that these standards will be managed and monitored by the New Age/New Spirituality model of health care reform.

So it might be questioned, should Bible believers, like Daniel of old, choose not to defile themselves by partaking in the *Daniel Plan* with its obvious New Age and One World overtones and control mechanisms? How much better it would be for Christians to choose to satisfy their spiritual appetite by feeding on Him who is the Bread of Life.

"And Jesus said unto them, 'I am the Bread of Life: he that cometh to Me shall never hunger'. . ." (John 6:35)

***Figure 2" at the top of this post is **Exhibit A**, a graphic representation of what this post is concerned about. It comes from Eric Swanson, *To Transform A City: Whole Church, Whole Gospel, Whole City* (Zondervan, 2010). Figure 2 comes from the Foreword, p. 11, and it provides a visual chart of the "7 domains of culture," of which Healthcare is the 7th. The Church in this diagram is seen encompassing the other 6 secular "domains." The book explains, "The missional church sees itself as the people of God... already deployed across all domains of culture." This is an incredible visual of the Dominionist church model. Swanson acknowledges Bob Buford of Leadership Network on p. 13. To see Eric Swanson's new "gospel," watch the youtube video <http://learnings.leadnet.org/2010/06/eric-swanson-on-the-show-this-week.html>, which is also posted in the context of an article "What Is Dominionism?" posted at <http://apprising.org/2011/01/26/what-is-dominionism/>.*

Endnotes:

1. Marilyn Ferguson, *The Aquarian Conspiracy: Personal and Social Transformation in the 1980s* (JP Tarcher, 1980), p. 241, 257, emphases added.
2. Peter Drucker was Rick Warren's mentor, a well-known fact divulged in numerous articles in mainstream publications. See *Herescope*, Nov. 18, 2005, "Peter Drucker's Mega-Church Legacy," <http://herescope.blogspot.com/2005/11/peter-druckers-mega->

[church-legacy.html](#)

3. Constance Cumbey, *The Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow: The New Age Movement and Our Coming Age of Barbarism* (Huntington House, 1983), p. 55. This book puts Marilyn Ferguson's book into context and explains the Aquarian Conspiracy's Luciferian history and agenda.

4. It is outside the scope of this small blog post to analyze the various holistic health movements that happened over the past 40 years. Some changes in the old medical model were obviously beneficial. But note that the New Agers sought to redefine the term "mind." See Berit Kjos's key article "Mysticism & Global Mind Change" for a more thorough discussion on this topic: <http://www.crossroad.to/articles2/007/global-mind-1.htm>

5. Ferguson, *Ibid*, quoting Kenneth Pelletier's research, p.251.

6. One of the subheadings in Ferguson's chapter on "Healing Ourselves" is "Health and Transformation." Transformation and reform are words used interchangeably when she discussed the emergence of the holistic health care model. It is important to note that Ferguson emphasizes the role of "spirit" in healing, but this has nothing to do with the Holy Spirit. This is not unlike psychic healing, which she says "may prove a useful adjunct to medicine in the future." (p. 276)

7. A quick Google search of Rick Warren's three doctors, Mehmet Oz, Daniel Amen, and Mark Hyman, illustrates their New Age proclivities. See, for example, Marsha West's article, "[Rick Warren introduces 'The Devil Plan,'](#)" Jan. 24, 2011.

8. Jennifer Pekich, "Saddleback Health & Fitness Seminar - Infomercial for Sustainable Development," January 20, 2011, <http://ponderingsfrompatmos.blogspot.com/2011/01/saddleback-health-fitness-seminar.html> [emphases added]. Listen to Jennifer's interview on Crosstalk radio with host Ingrid Schleuter at http://www.crosstalkamerica.com/shows/2011/01/rick_warren_dr_oz_and_the_dang.ph

9. See the Herescope post, October 30, 2006, "Rick Warren: Is He Scary?" <http://herescope.blogspot.com/2006/10/rick-warren-is-he-scary.html> This article is the most important post we have ever published.

10. Note that there is a totally inadequate legal disclaimer that accompanies this program! <http://saddleback.com/thedanielplan/legal/>

11. See this extremely important article about databanking personally identifiable information: "When Johnny Takes the Test,"

http://www.deliberatedumbingdown.com/OtherPDFs/When%20Johnny%20Takes%20The%20Test_Fields_Leslie_Hoge_2005_10pg_Edu.pdf Also see the following

Herescope posts: "Bill Gates Fund\$ Rick Warren," 1/30/07,

<http://herescope.blogspot.com/2007/01/bill-gates-fund-rick-warren.html> and "The Great Outpouring of Wealth, 8/10/10, <http://herescope.blogspot.com/2010/08/great-outpouring-of-wealth.html>. There are many other Herescope posts over the years that pertain to Rick Warren's global endeavors, his internationalist ties, and his work to transform society that connect these points. Readers are encouraged to follow the links and read back articles if they are curious about the scope of this topic.

12. This obviously isn't about physical health or there would be no need to bring in the gurus. This is all about Marilyn Ferguson's New Age "body-mind-spirit" health. The

Daniel Plan is a clever way to desensitize people in the pews to the New Age holistic health care reform model.

13. Eric Swanson, *To Transform A City: Whole Church, Whole Gospel, Whole City* (Zondervan, 2010), *Ibid*.

14. For a full discussion of this point, see the monograph, "The Pied Pipers of Purpose, Part 1: Human Capital Systems and Church Performance," http://www.discernment-ministries.org/Purpose_Driven.pdf

15. "First lady wants to see healthful items on menus," by Sheryl Gay Stolberg and William Neuman, *New York Times*, 2/6/11, <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/02/07/us/politics/07michelle.html>.

16. *Ibid*.

17. See "The Pizza Choice Game," by Sarah Leslie, <http://www.crossroad.to/articles2/05/sarah-leslie/pizza.htm> and "Food Tactics," <http://www.crossroad.to/articles2/009/discernment/1-9-food-tactics.htm>. For a scary look at the New World Order component of this health and diet agenda, see Berit Kjos's excellent compilation of articles about "Global Food [& Land] Control" at <http://www.crossroad.to/News/food.htm>

18. See this <http://saddleback.com/mc/m/903D> around the 15 minute mark and for the next few minutes, where Rick Warren says that "national grocery chains" are going to be getting behind the Daniel Plan. Note that the Daniel Plan is also one of the first initiatives for Rick Warren's Decade of Destiny. See <http://apprising.org/2010/10/12/rick-warren-presents-us-our-destiny-doctor/> and <http://apprising.org/2010/10/03/decade-of-destiny-with-rick-warren/>, and <http://apprising.org/2010/07/23/destiny-driven-dominionism-and-rick-warren/> for background information.

[Click here](#) to continue reading.

- [Rick Warren & Apostasy – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [Rick Warren & Rupert Murdoch – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [Rick Warren, Obama, Hillary – Scott A. Johnson – 00.mp3](#)

STATE-OWNED CHURCHES ARE KILLING AMERICA

By Chuck Baldwin--February 3, 2011--NewsWithViews.com

America was birthed in the spirit of liberty and baptized in the blood of patriots and tyrants. Leading the charge in America's fight for independence was a courageous group of patriot-preachers that came to be known as the "Black Regiment." I have written several columns on this subject. Suffice it to say here that I invite readers to take a look at my Black Regiment web page to learn more about this early American phenomenon. There is little doubt that without these stalwart Christian pastors, this country would not have come into existence. (I extended this call for a modern-day Black Regiment 5 years before Glenn Beck ever mentioned it.)

See my [Black Regiment page here](#).

Plus, to read my column regarding the Black Regiment that was published in *The New American* magazine in 2009, [click here](#).

So, what did these colonial preachers have that today's preachers don't? **The better question might be: what did these colonial preachers NOT have that today's preachers do?** The answer? Two things: 1) an IRS 501(c)(3) tax-exempt corporation status, and 2) a 50-year misinterpretation of Romans chapter 13.

The now infamous 501(c)(3) section of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) goes back to 1936 (the seeds of this Venus Fly Trap date back to 1872). But then-Senator Lyndon Johnson was the Dr. Frankenstein who, in 1954, unleashed this monster upon America. His motivation was: he did not like the way pastors and churches were opposing his liberal agenda, and he wanted to use the power of law to silence them. He, therefore, introduced verbiage to the IRC that churches were prohibited from influencing political legislation and supporting political campaigns, or risk losing their tax-exempt status.

Of course, colonial pastors didn't have to worry about their churches being "incorporated" as State-created (and controlled) entities, or about IRS agents intimidating them regarding what they could or could not say. In early America, preachers were free men; they could say whatever they wanted. Beyond that, virtually everyone regarded preachers as being "God's men," not the "servants of men."

Today, however, the average pastor has become the servant of the State and the church he pastors, more often than not, has become a creature of the State. It is an absolute fact that State-owned churches are killing America!

Dick Greb of the Save-A-Patriot Fellowship in Westminster, Maryland, wrote: "Many Americans find it disturbing that some of our churches today are little more than milquetoast corporations that fear our federal government more than the great I AM. Moreover, it can even be said that some preachers have the appearance of cringing, 'politically correct' cowards, rather than committed Godly men of fortitude with backbone, such as those we read of in the Bible." (Source: Greb, Dick. "The 501(c)(3) Hallucination: A Bane to Liberty." Reasonable Action Issue #244 Winter 2003.)

Dear reader, you can take this to the bank: the vast majority of pastors and board members of these 501(c)(3) corporations, when push comes to shove (and it always does), **will demonstrate unconditional loyalty to the State. Plus, they will compromise or sacrifice any and every Bible doctrine or principle in order to preserve their tax-exempt status and stay on the smiley side of the IRC. They will also throw anyone under the old proverbial bus who might risk them falling out of favor with the IRS.** (I can give painful and personal testimony to that fact!) Greb is right! Many, or most, of today's pastors and church officers fear the federal government far more than they fear God.

Not only did colonial preachers not have to contend with putting their churches under some State-controlled corporation, they would never have allowed it to happen! Can one imagine John Leland, Jonas Clark, or John Witherspoon being told by any State official what he could or could not say, or what his church could or could not do? These men were willing to go to prison or even the grave in order to remain faithful to their spiritual calling and to their political and moral convictions!

The other thing that colonial preachers did not suffer from was a 50-year indoctrination of a misinterpretation of Romans 13.

This "Submit-to-the-government-no-matter-what" doctrine (using Romans 13 as the pretext) is a satanically inspired lie designed to turn free men and women into slaves of

the state! Students of history know that Adolf Hitler encouraged German pastors and churches to promote this same fallacious philosophy among the German people. And according to Erwin Lutzer's book, "Hitler's Cross", out of the more than 14,000 evangelical churches and pastors in Germany at the time, only about 800 remained faithful to Scripture and opposed Hitler's brand of state worship. If my math is correct, that's about 5%. And it would not surprise me if 5% is about the percentage of pastors and churches in America today that are opposing this modern-day worship of the state. At this point, instead of embellishing upon Romans 13, I am going to insert a commercial. I began a series of messages on Romans 13 last Sunday to view my message, "The Truth About Romans 13, Part 1," [click here](#).


Also see: [Rom. 13 & Unlimited Submission – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)

"The only thing necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing." So said Edmund Burke. And, unfortunately, the good men that are mostly doing nothing and allowing evil to triumph in our land are the good men (and women) of America's churches who have either been intimidated by the 501(c)(3) tax-exempt corporation status, or who have been put into a sheepish, servile, Satan-induced coma from an overdose of misapplied Romans 13 poison.

To quote the famous Bible commentator, Matthew Henry, "It is the devil that stirs up his instruments, wicked men [in government or without], to persecute the people of God; tyrants and persecutors are the devil's tools, though they gratify their own sinful malignity, and know not that they are actuated by a diabolical malice." (Source: Matthew Henry's Commentaries on the Bible, notes on Revelation 2:10)

Yes, the Black Regiment preachers of colonial America helped lead America's fight for freedom and independence. But, they did not have their hands out to the IRS, or their minds and hearts numbed into apathy and indifference by decades of misuse and abuse of Romans chapter 13.

<http://www.newswithviews.com/baldwin/baldwin635.htm>



[Additional Titles](#)

Satan's Master Plan to Destroy the Lord's Church

Table of Contents

- **Dr. Johnson's Audio Teachings on the Dangers 501c3 Corporate Church**
- **THIRTY WAYS THAT THE IRS TRIES TO CONTROL PREACHERS AND CHURCHES IN AMERICA By Dr Greg Dixon**
- **Unincorporated Associations Are Not Unregistered New Testament Churches**
- **L.A. ministers asked to spread the word about digital TV - Los Angeles Times**
- **Church Organization Refuses To Divulge If Pastors Are On FEMA Payroll**

- Is Tax Exemption Modern-day Baal Worship? By Dr. Don Boys
- New American Theology of Civil Submission
- IRS WARNS CHURCH MAY LOSE TAX EXEMPTION
- FAITH BASED 501-c-3 Churches are part of HOMELAND SECURITY NOW!
- Romans 13 & Unlimited Subservience to the Government: Where should a Bible Believing Christian Draw the Line?
- Will your pastor cooperate with the Federal Government, as liberal Protestant pastors meekly did under the terror of Adolf Hitler?
- Feds Train Clergy To "Quell Dissent" During Martial Law
- Secret FEMA Plan To Use Pastors as Pacifiers in Preparation For Martial Law: Nationwide initiative trains volunteers to teach congregations to "obey the government" during seizure of guns, property, forced inoculations and forced relocation
- Excellent Study: The Surrender and Betrayal of the Church The Unholy Union of the Church with the State thru Incorporation and Tax Exemption by Sam Adams
- A Petition/Demand to Dissolve a Corporate Religious Charity and Then Establish a Free Church From Pastor Slattery
- THE 501(c)(3) INCORPORATED CHURCH "DOWN AND DIRTY"

Email or to Subscribe to the Free Email Newsletter list (There is a Christian and Health oriented newsletter, so please indicated which one/ones you would like to be added onto) : drjohnson@ix.netcom.com

Free Gift: www.chick.com/information/general/salvation.asp

Jude 3&4: "Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you of the common salvation, it was needful for me to write unto you, and exhort you that ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints."

Johnson's Audio Teachings on the Dangers 501c3 Corporate Church:

- [501c3 Clergy to 'Quell Dissent' – Dr. Scott Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [Alarming 501c3 Church Report-1 – Scott A. Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [Alarming 501c3 Church Report-2 – Scott A. Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [Alarming 501c3 Church Report-3 – Scott A. Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [Alarming 501c3 Church Report-4 – Scott A. Johnson – 00.mp3](#)
- [The 501c3 Church Being Muzzled – Scott A. Johnson – 00.mp3](#)

THIRTY WAYS THAT THE IRS TRIES TO CONTROL PREACHERS AND CHURCHES IN AMERICA

By Greg J. Dixon, Pastor Emeritus
Indianapolis Baptist Temple

Each of these propositions has been taken from IRS Publication 1828, Tax Guide For Churches and Other Religious Organizations, two letters from the Regional Commissioner of the IRS Cincinnati that the Indianapolis received through discovery, the twenty-two interrogatories that were included with the letters, and the 4 inch file that accompanied the

letter with the news clippings on the church and pastor dating back to 1971.

The following demands are what the Internal Revenue Service requires of churches to maintain exemption from Federal Income Tax.

1. The church must have a “distinct legal existence” – According to IRS publication #557 that legal existence would date from incorporation, page 3. Therefore a church must be incorporated.
2. The church must admit that it exists by privilege granted by the IRS (tax-exempt) rather than by right granted by God through the Holy Scriptures, (non-taxable) recognizing another Head (State) rather than Christ.
3. The church must have a “recognized creed and form of worship”. The IRS must approve (recognize) the creed (belief) and form (manner) of worship.
4. The church must have a “definite and distinct ecclesiastical government.”
5. The church must have a “formal code of doctrine and discipline.”
6. The church must have a “distinct religious history.” This is denominational.
7. The church must be an “organization”.
8. The church must be an “organization of ordained ministers”.
9. The church must have these ordained ministers who are “selected after completing prescribed courses of study”. Like the Apostles, who had only “been with Jesus”, local Baptist churches many times approve pastors who are not educated at all in any formal way.
10. The church must have “established places of worship.” True churches many times have no permanent address (place of worship), but because of persecution and other reasons have moved from place to place.
11. The church must submit to the IRS by paying a user fee (tribute) for tax-exempt status. This would be contrary to the Scriptures, and U.S., and all State Constitutions.
12. The church must be engaged in activities that further “exclusively public Purposes rather than Private interests.” The true church of Christ exists for the personal and private interest of Christ her Head, not the State.
13. The church must answer to the IRS as to its “daily activities.”
14. The IRS controls all financial activities of the church including source, donors of \$100.00 or more, and expenditures.
15. The church may not use cash, or it will be suspected of money laundering. All books and records must be available for IRS inspection at all times.
16. The church must act in the capacity of an informer to the RIS as to who serves at the church in the capacity of “pastors, associates, counselors, educational directors, teachers, office help, clerical, and maintenance personnel.”
17. The church must inform the IRS as to who the church helps in the area of charity.
18. The church must inform the IRS as to love gifts to evangelists and missionaries over \$600.00 by filing a Form 1099 on each including those who are regularly supported.
19. The church must use only IRS approved methods of fund raising.
20. The pastor of the church must not preach against the tax system of the U.S. or say anything against the practices and tactics of the IRS.
21. The pastor of the church must answer to the IRS and give unlimited submission to the civil magistrate pertaining to all laws, federal, state and local, including “Public Policy.”

22. The pastor of the church must advocate, promote, and actively encourage race mixing if the church has an educational ministry.
23. The pastor of the church cannot influence legislation concerning licensure of church ministries.
24. The pastor of the church cannot engage in political activity in regard to opposing pornography.
25. The pastor of the church cannot actively support legislation that declares that children belong to their parents not the state.
26. The pastor of the church cannot actively support legislation opposing a state lottery or other gambling laws.
27. The pastor of the church cannot advocate support of the U.s. or State Constitutions as the Supreme Law of the U.S. or the various states.
28. The pastor of the church cannot actively participate in opposing the public school system.
29. The pastor of the church cannot declare publicly that the church is to obey God, not government.
30. The pastor of the church cannot oppose laws legalizing sodomy.

Conclusion: The entire forty-seven page booklet is available for a gift of any amount including postage and shipping through:

Temple Books

P.O. Box 11

Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

E-mail: drgregdixon@earthlink.net

Web: www.unregisteredbaptistfellowship.com

Unincorporated Associations Are Not Unregistered New Testament Churches

By Dr. Greg Dixon--The subject that we are dealing with, in my opinion, is the most confusing subject among preachers and church lay folks and even attorneys that we have to deal with constantly at the Biblical Law Center. For instance, often preachers say to us, "Our church isn't a 501(c)(3) church because we aren't incorporated." We will ask, "Does the church have a bank account, EIN #, trustees, Constitution and By-laws, conduct an annual business meeting, give tax deductible receipts, file tax-exempt forms, file for mercantile permits, support missionaries through an incorporated missions board, or is the church listed in a Denomination or Incorporated Fellowship Directory, etc.?" If the answer to any of these is "yes," then even if the church is not incorporated, it has the trappings of a corporation; and besides this, by its very existence it is considered a tax-exempt organization by the Internal Revenue Service according to Publication 1828 - Tax Guide for Churches and Other Tax-Exempt Organizations. We do not have the space in this article to try and prove to the skeptics that all of the above are the trappings of corporate activities, and that there are many court cases and examples to give evidence of these facts. Many of them are in my book *The Trail of Blood Revisited* (Faith Publications - 4th Printing). The next error that we keep hearing is the erroneous idea that churches are automatically tax-exempt. Well, this isn't true, either. Let's examine this issue further. The IRS considers the unincorporated church to be a legal entity called an "unincorporated association" or "religious society," to be

treated as any other non-profit organization or "public charity" under Section 501(c)(3) of Title 26 of the Internal Revenue Code at 508.

508. Special rules with respect to section 501(c)(3) organization

(1) Mandatory exceptions. - (a) and (b) shall not apply to-

(A) churches, their integrated auxiliaries, and conventions or associations, or . . . First you will notice that all churches, whether incorporated or not, are considered to be 501(c)(3) tax-exempt public charities according to the IRS. Churches, regardless of doctrine, whether incorporated or unincorporated, are treated the same by the IRS. They do not have to file any forms for "tax-exemption." But it does not say that they have a "Mandatory Tax-Exemption." Under (1) it says "Mandatory exception." Now there is a big difference between "exemption" and "exception." For one, exemption is not mandatory, only the exception is mandatory. But before we look at the difference, let's notice the status of the "association."

1. The unincorporated church is considered a legal entity 501(c)(3) at 508 IRS State Church Defined IRS Publication 1828; pg. 1 Churches and religious organizations may be legally organized ...under state law, including as un-incorporated associations, non-profit corporations, corporations sole and charitable trusts. But the problem is, if the church does any of the things mentioned above, they are involved in legal enterprises and are or have operated as a legal entity. It's called entanglement.

2. The Association is one of the four recognized IRS Approved Churches mentioned above. Churches, organized in the four ways mentioned above, including the association, are exempt from corporate taxes at the federal and state level on what is called normal religious activities if they meet the preponderance of a 14 point criteria that was first officially announced by IRS Director Jerome Kurtz in January of 1978, which was the first time in America that this IRS State Church was defined. Beginning at that point all churches, to be tax exempt, must meet this definition in America today. See Pub. 1828 Pg. 1 - "CHURCH - Certain characteristics are generally attributed to churches. These attributes of a church have been developed by the IRS and by court decisions. [Editors note: not by the Bible] They include: a) A distinct legal existence (which includes one of the four mentioned in paragraph one above), b) A recognized creed and form of worship, c) A definite and distinct ecclesiastical government, d) A formal code of doctrine and discipline, e) A distinct religious history, f) A membership not associated with any other church or denomination, g) An organization of ordained ministers, h) Ordained ministers selected after completing prescribed courses of study, i) A literature of its own, j) Established places of worship, k) Regular congregations, l) Regular religious services, m) "Sunday schools" for the religious instruction of the young, n) Schools for the preparation of its ministers. "These characteristics, together with other facts...are used to determine whether an organization is a church for federal tax purposes." (Emphasis mine) Now compare all of this drivel to the clear, simple language of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." The churches have traded 16 simple words of liberty for the quicksand of the 501(c)(3) trap that has polluted the pure flow of the gospel of grace from flowing throughout our land and even the entire world through the world wide missionary effort.

3. The difference between a Religious Organization and a Church We hear people say constantly at the Biblical Law Center that churches don't have to be incorporated because churches have mandatory tax-exemption. This is not what the IRC says. It says a "mandatory exception" not "exemption." There is a vast difference. Churches have a

"mandatory exception," but religious organizations do not, such as non-profit ministries like the Billy Graham Evangelistic Association, Inc. Para-church organizations do not have a "mandatory exception." Neither do they have a "mandatory exemption." First, if they want to be a non-profit organization, they have to file Form 1023 with the IRS. If they are accepted, then they have to file Form 990 annually. Not only are they exempt from corporate taxes, but they can also file for state property and sales tax exemptions. They can also receive tax deductible gifts from donors. But churches have these benefits automatically without having to file Form 1023 and the annual

990, and the pastor gets big time benefits too, but just hold on, the plot gets thicker.

4. Religious Organizations, including churches, have to meet strict rules to maintain their Tax Exempt Status - IRS Pub. 1828; pg. 1 "This publication explains the benefits and the responsibilities under the federal tax system for churches and religious organizations." The IRS makes no distinction between churches and religious (parachurch) organizations. "No substantial part of its activity may be attempting to influence legislation,"

(Substantial is totally subjective on the part of the IRS) "The organization may not intervene in political campaigns." "No part of the organization's purpose or activities may be illegal or violate fundamental public policy." Abortion, sodomy, unjust wars are all now a part of the federal "public policy" frame work of our nation. Back when the "fundamental public policy" reflected a Christian world view, the preachers were happy to accept these benefits, never considering the old adage, "Come shekels, Come shackles." But the principle was still the same. Also, the organization and church, if it has minister "employees," must collect and pay taxes to the federal and state government, which makes the church a collector and payer of taxes contrary to the scriptures. "And he said unto them, Render therefore unto Caesar the things which be Caesar's, and unto God the things which be God's." - Luke 20:25

5. The difference between Exception and Exemption Note: IRS Pub. 1828; pg. 1 IRC: Section 501(c) (3) describes charitable organizations, including churches and religious organizations, which qualify for exemption from federal income tax and generally are eligible to receive tax deductible contributions. You will notice that the exemption isn't automatic. The church must qualify by meeting and maintaining the standards set up for tax-exempt organizations. IRS Pub. 1828; Page 2 "Churches that meet the requirements of Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section

501(c)(3) are automatically considered exempt. And are not required to apply for and obtain recognition of tax exempt status from the IRS. However, even though churches are generally eligible to receive tax-deductible contributions, to qualify for tax exemption, such organizations must meet the following requirements:" Note: Churches are automatically considered exempt and are not required to apply for tax exempt status but they still have to meet "the following requirements." So churches do not have automatic tax exemption. There is a vast difference between these two words. Just because a church, even if it isn't incorporated, doesn't have to file for tax-exempt status, it still has to "qualify" and maintain its tax-exempt status by meeting all of the standards that tax exempt organizations meet.

Applying for Tax Exempt Status: Employer Identification Number

(EIN) Pub. 1828 Continues "Every tax-exempt organization, including a church, should have an EIN, whether or not the organization has employees. An organization that does not have an EIN should file Form SS-4 Application for Employer Identification ry! A better analogy might be lifting the sheepskin and finding long yellow teeth connected to a very hungry tummy. I thank God for allowing us to go through this trial and gain the knowledge to help

expose this terrible tyranny. I am also thankful for the wisdom and perception of Judge Davis and the appeals court in rendering and upholding a ruling that would not license the plunder of untold Christian congregations across our land. Pastor Duane Cleghorn New Covenant Baptist Church Campbellsburg, Indiana Joshua 1:8, 9 - This book of the law shall not depart out of thy mouth; but thou shalt meditate therein day and night, that thou mayest observe to do according to all that is written therein: for then thou shalt make thy way prosperous, and then thou shalt have good success. Jos 1:9 Have not I commanded thee? Be strong and of a good courage; be not afraid, neither be thou dismayed: for the LORD thy God is with thee whithersoever thou goest. There has been no repentance or acknowledgement of wrong doing on the part of Lewis in this whole sad spectacle. To him, everyone is to blame but him. This preacher is to blame because he says we influenced a church "split" to rebel against him, which is untrue. The Ecclesiastical Council, a group of Baptist pastors, who at the request of the Shepherd Group examined all of the evidence and came to the basic conclusion of the secular courts in December 1999, is to blame, because they have no authority over his church and are a "Romish, Popish authority," etc. The courts are to blame because they are crooked, etc. Now this is the strangest of all. In an email dated Dec. 14, Lewis admits that he lied in the Shepherd case in the following words: Sure I don't confess to a stinking Marxist or Prostituting cop or lawyer/ judge something that they say is unlawful, if I have done nothing to break the laws of God! I am happy to "lie" like the Hebrew midwives did in Exodus 1:15-21; or like Rahab did in Joshua 2, James 2:25; and Hebrews 11:31." This was in reference to Judge Davis' excoriating accusations against him in his findings that said:

1. Trespass - By entering the property of Shepherd's without permission and building fences within the boundaries of her land.
2. Conversion - By knowingly or intentionally exerting control over Shepherd's property.
3. Lewis attempted to steal and attempted the offense of committed theft of Shepherd's real estate.
4. Theft and Conversion - The Court finds that Lewis intentionally retained Shepherd's real estate after he had by fraudulent and deceptive means convinced her to have it titled in Old Paths Baptist Church temporarily.
5. Fraud - Lewis intended to defraud and obtain title to Shepherd's real property.
6. Deception - The Court specifically finds Lewis knowingly or intentionally deceived with the intent to obtain the proceeds of Shepherd's dead husband's life insurance. How do you think Judge Davis feels about this kind of treatment? Lewis is the one who asked the court to determine who was right. He is the one who subjected His church to a worldly court. He is the one who said by going to the court that he would abide by the court's decision - and now he is saying that the court is "crooked?" Please see the article Unincorporated Associations Are Not Unregistered New Testament Churches on page 2 Number, in accordance with the instructions." Again, we see the mind games they play. The word "should" as well as "may" are not imperatives in law, but rather are suggestions. However, in such an august setting as the IRC, it is given the color of law. Therefore, to be on the safe side, attorneys, CPAs, tax preparers, etc., because of a cowardly fear of "Big Brother," recommend compliance. Banks then wade into the equation by demanding an EIN as if it is embedded in law. Then over time, the general practice becomes respectable, and then anyone who refuses to go along with the majority is looked upon as a misfit in society and is persecuted by the majority. Then the liberal news media finishes off the mass brainwashing job, aided by government, and

heaps scorn on those non-conformists until judges and juries, through the courts, force the conduct into the respectability of law, bypassing the Legislative branch of government. Once the issue finally gets before a jury, generally in tax cases, either the judge instructs the jury to find the defendant guilty or the jury does it on their own. If it gets to the appeals courts, the justices then pick the corpse clean. This is the way a democracy - or rather a mobocracy - works. What we now have is an IRS recognized and controlled church in America, and without their approval and number, the Lord's true churches cannot exist without a great deal of difficulty. This IRS approved State church includes weird religious cults of all kinds, witches covens, Jewish synagogues, mosques, Mormon Stakes or Buddhist Temples. The Supreme Court even allows the Babalu's, a strange cult that cuts the heads off chickens in downtown Hialeah, Florida, to have their tax-exemption in spite of their violating the health laws of the city, because THEY HAVE THE NUMBER. When the Biblical Law Center aids in the organizing or reorganizing of a church using its methods, the church makes a Declaration that it is not one of these IRS "legal entities", but rather a New Testament church recognizing the Lord Jesus Christ as its only Lord and Head.

This email was cleaned by emailStripper, available for free from <http://www.papercut.biz/emailStripper.htm>

L.A. ministers asked to spread the word about digital TV - Los Angeles Times

By Nathan Olivarez-Giles

February 10, 2009

Federal regulators shepherding the U.S. digital television transition visited Los Angeles on Monday and asked for divine assistance.

Broadcasters turn off their analog signals in just over four months, and Federal Communications Commission staffers can't make sure that people buy and set up the converter boxes they'll need for their older TV sets that are hooked up to antennas. Who can? Ministers.

"We need people to take up leadership in their community and make sure nobody gets left out in the switch," FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein said during a public forum at the Mount Moriah Baptist Church in South Los Angeles. "Churches already have the infrastructure in place to do that."

Standing at the church pulpit, Adelstein asked the Baptist Ministries Conference of Los Angeles, nearly 50 African American preachers who meet once a month, to include information on the June 12 digital TV switch in their sermons. L.A. County has the largest number of over-the-air viewers in the country, Adelstein said, and those viewers are concentrated in the minority and elderly communities.

"It's sort of an ad-hoc approach to handling this situation, but the next four months will fly by and we need to be ready," he said.

Adelstein and five local FCC field officers handed out applications for \$40 coupons for digital-to-analog converter boxes.

They also dispensed a few pieces of advice: There isn't much difference between a \$40 converter box and a \$70 model; and cable and satellite TV customers don't need one at all. Adelstein and the field officers spent their morning at a senior center in West Covina, where they explained the upcoming switch and showed how to connect a converter box to a TV. After the midday stop at the church, the group headed to Cal State L.A. to reach out to the

Latino community.

The transition, originally scheduled for Feb. 17, was delayed last week by Congress until June 12.

FCC field officers are planning more meetings in schools, churches and neighborhood centers before the switch, Adelstein said. The Monday meeting at Mount Moriah was organized by the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights.

Woodie Ramsey, a deacon at Southern Missionary Baptist Church of South East Los Angeles, **said the ministers were prepared to spread the word.**

"It's incumbent upon each church to take care of the needs of its ministry, and this is just one more need for our people," he said. "We'll do our part."

<http://www.latimes.com/technology/la-fi-digitaltv10-2009feb10,0,4225388.story?track=rss>
Church Organization Refuses To Divulge If Pastors Are On FEMA Payroll

Paul Joseph Watson

[Prison Planet.com](http://PrisonPlanet.com)

Monday, February 2, 2009

A large church organization has refused to divulge how many of its pastors are on the FEMA payroll, after a member expressed concerns about religious leaders being used to condition their congregation to accept the declaration of martial law.

[In a May 2006 story](#) we first broke the shocking news that FEMA was training pastors and other religious representatives to become secret police enforcers who teach their congregations to "obey the government" in preparation for a declaration of martial law, property and firearm seizures, and forced relocation.

Despite debunkers and urban myth websites claiming the story was a hoax, it was confirmed in triplicate by mainstream news outlets over a year later.

[A KSLA news report](#) confirmed that Clergy Response Teams are being trained by the federal government to "quell dissent" and pacify citizens to obey the government in the event of a declaration of martial law.

Concerned about such developments, a member of the California-based [Worldwide Church of God](#), an organization that boasts 64,000 members in 860 congregations in about 90 countries, asked if any of the church's pastors were involved in the FEMA program.

"The head office quickly replied hastily within an hour by telling me, "Sorry, that is privileged information", the man states. "The reply was in big bold script like I've never seen before in emails. I was also a bit put off by the word "privileged."

"I responded to the stated email and reworded my request slightly by demanding, "are there ANY of our pastors on the payroll of FEMA , YES or NO." Their first response came after about an hour. But, it has been almost 24 hours and I am still waiting for my church's second response to my second request," he adds.

It seems that church groups are reticent to let slip any information concerning the issue of pastors being trained to help manage a state of martial law following the controversy stirred up by the exposure of the program in 2006.

Indeed, the pastors that took a risk by first divulging the information to us were later threatened by Homeland Security and told to keep their mouths shut.

With new legislation in Congress pending that will mandate the [set-up of a network of FEMA camp facilities](#) to be used to house U.S. citizens in the event of a national emergency, along with the announcement that tens of thousands of active military personnel are to be placed

inside the United States under Northcom, [partly for purposes of dealing with "civil unrest" and "crowd control"](#), fears about a state of martial law being prepared are no longer the fantasies of paranoid conspiracy theorists, but an all too real possibility as we move towards the end of the first decade of the 21st century.

<http://www.infowars.com/church-organization-refuses-to-divulge-if-pastors-are-on-fema-payroll/>

Is Tax Exemption Modern-day Baal Worship?

By Dr. Don Boys

Many people have confused the tax issue as it relates to churches. And there is no comparison with personal taxes. That is a different subject altogether. The issue relating to churches and taxes is two-fold: Should churches pay taxes and do churches have a right to demand that all gifts be deductible from a contributor's income tax? That is the issue before us today.

The Bible nor the Constitution speak to this issue of tax deduction. The fact that we have been permitted to deduct from our taxes what we give to the church is simply a benefit that we have had since the income tax was foisted upon us in 1913. I believe that benefit is coming to an end - and maybe it should!

Should churches be willing to bow to Baal in order to have the privilege of tax deduction by its members? Remember the principle that if you get a benefit from government, you willingly put yourself (or church) under its authority while at the same time losing some autonomy.

The Bob Jones decision (where the Supreme Court twisted the Constitution and reality like a pretzel) will haunt us for decades. If a church stands for anything contrary to "public policy" it will be said to be in violation of the Constitution. What is "public policy"? If you preach against perversion, abortion, welfare, etc. then you are going against "public policy" and may lose your tax exemption.

Should a church have tax exempt status especially when you realize the price that must be paid to keep it? The price? Obedience to Federal regulations. If a church did not have the benefits of tax exemption, there would be no "sword" over it. A pastor could preach about any moral issue and could support any political candidate without fear of losing that tax exempt status since the church would not have it to lose.

Another question: Suppose you knew a candidate for office were another Hitler who would do irreparable harm to our nation and wreak havoc with our churches. Under the law your church cannot help defeat him and help elect his opponent. Why? Because that is part of the "contract" you have with the government. Is it worth it? Is it now past time for churches to declare themselves totally independent of government coercion by surrendering their tax exempt status?

Frankly, I think the issue is moot because I believe the government will "cut the cord" between us in order to collect more personal taxes from each of us. Of course, concerned pastors will ask: Will church members continue to give the same amount if their gifts are not deductible from their tax returns? Wise pastors should start preparing their people NOW for that day.

We have bowed the knee to Baal for too long, and while government has its legitimate place, it is not at church! Maybe it is time to tell public officials to keep their grubby hands off the Church of Jesus Christ and keep them out of church coffers. And as for tax exemption, we will trade it for our freedom. Not a bad deal when you come to think about it, and I hope you will.

Don Boys, Ph.D

[New American Theology of Civil Submission](#)

[Youtube | April 14, 2008](#)

The government has predetermined an important role for the clergy should martial law become a reality in America. While federal military-police powers will hold a key position of authority, the clergy may provide the means for further explaining the call for a new order of civil obedience to a weary public during the national emergency.

Watch this sickening video: <http://www.infowars.com/?p=1505>

IRS WARNS CHURCH MAY LOSE TAX EXEMPTION

[Tuesday, November 08, 2005](#) - FreeMarketNews.com

Free speech and the right to oppose policies you consider unjust may be even more at stake than we had thought under the present Administration. According to a Los Angeles Times story cited on What Really Happened, one of Southern California's largest and most liberal churches could be losing its tax-exempt status, merely because of an anti-war sermon its now-former rector delivered, two days before the 2004 election.

The **Internal Revenue Service has warned** the All Saints Episcopal Church in Pasadena, California, that it may be losing its tax exemption, citing a sermon by the Rev. George F. Regas, on Oct. 31, 2004. In his lesson that day, Regas (who had previously opposed from the pulpit both the Vietnam War and 1991's Gulf War), imagined Jesus in a political debate with then-candidates George W. Bush and John Kerry.

According to the story, he did not take sides or tell parishioners who to vote for, but he did criticize the war in Iraq, stating that Jesus would have called Bush's pre-emptive war "a failed doctrine," and that "Forcibly changing the regime of an enemy that posed no imminent threat has led to disaster." Regas was rector of All Saints from 1967 to 1995, and was a guest speaker at that particular service.

The response from the IRS was far from immediate, but the church received a notice on June 9 that "a reasonable belief exists that you may not be tax-exempt as a church," citing an LA Times account of the Regas sermon. Perhaps most ironic is the fact that the IRS cited the Times's coverage of the sermon, and not the sermon itself, as its basis for the decision; the Times went beyond quoting from the sermon's text and characterized it as a "searing

indictment of the Bush administration's policies in Iraq," which also described "tax cuts as inimical to the values of Jesus."

The IRS letter specifically cited these news report characterizations of Regas's words. Meanwhile, current Rector J. Edwin Bacon was quoted as saying, "We are so careful at our church never to endorse a candidate. One of the strongest sermons I've ever given was against President Clinton's fraying of the social safety net." Phone calls by the Times, attempting to reach IRS officials in Washington, D.C. and Los Angeles for comment, were not returned.

A further consideration is that churches all over California have been taking stances on both sides of the controversial Proposition 73, which would ban abortions for minors without parental notification. Some at All Saints believe they are being singled out in spite of the tolerance for this widespread political activity. Bacon said the church had retained the services of a Washington law firm with expertise in tax-exempt organizations, and told his congregation: "It's important for everyone to understand that the IRS concerns are not supported by the facts."

In an October letter to the IRS, Marcus Owens, the church's tax attorney and a former head of the IRS tax-exempt section, said, "It seems ludicrous to suggest that a pastor cannot preach about the value of promoting peace simply because the nation happens to be at war during an election season." - ST

staff reports - Free-Market News Network

FAITH BASED 501-c-3 Churches are part of HOMELAND SECURITY NOW!

The old cliché "Come shekels, Come shackles" had never been more apparent than on March 7, 2006 when President George Bush gave Executive Order 13397, which literally turned the churches that have taken Faith Based Funds over to the clutches of the Department of Homeland Security.

Following is the order in its entirety with a brief comment at the end by Barbara Ketay, of Melbourne, Florida, who is the Legal Associate of the Biblical Law Center and the President of the United States Bar Association.

In a subsequent article we will analyze this Order and its consequences further.

Executive Order 13397 "Church as a Governmental Agency."

For Immediate Release Office of the Press Secretary March 7, 2006

Executive Order: Responsibilities of the Department of Homeland Security with Respect to Faith-Based and Community Initiatives

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and in order to help the Federal Government coordinate a national effort to expand opportunities for faith-based and other community organizations and to strengthen their capacity to better meet America's social and community needs, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Establishment of a Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives at the Department of Homeland Security.

(a) The Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary) shall establish within the Department of Homeland Security (Department) a Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives (Center).

(b) The Center shall be supervised by a Director appointed by Secretary. The Secretary shall consult with the Director of the White House Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives (WHOFBCI Director) prior to making such appointment.

(c) The Department shall provide the Center with appropriate staff, administrative support, and other resources to meet its responsibilities under this order.

(d) The Center shall begin operations no later than 45 days from the date of this order.

Sec. 2. Purpose of Center. The purpose of the Center shall be to coordinate agency efforts to eliminate regulatory, contracting, and other programmatic obstacles to the participation of faith-based and other community organizations in the provision of social and community services.

Sec. 3. Responsibilities of the Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives. In carrying out the purpose set forth in section 2 of this order, the Center shall:

(a) conduct, in coordination with the WHOFBCI Director, a department-wide audit to identify all existing barriers to the participation of faith-based and other community organizations in the delivery of social and community services by the Department, including but not limited to regulations, rules, orders, procurement, and other internal policies and practices, and outreach activities that unlawfully discriminate against, or otherwise discourage or disadvantage the participation of faith-based and other community organizations in Federal programs;

(b) coordinate a comprehensive departmental effort to incorporate faith-based and other community organizations in Department programs and initiatives to the greatest extent possible;

(c) propose initiatives to remove barriers identified pursuant to section 3(a) of this order, including but not limited to reform of regulations, procurement, and other internal policies and practices, and outreach activities;

(d) propose the development of innovative pilot and demonstration programs to increase the participation of faith-based and other community organizations in Federal as well as State and local initiatives; and

(e) develop and coordinate Departmental outreach efforts to disseminate information more effectively to faith-based and other community organizations with respect to programming changes, contracting opportunities, and other agency initiatives, including but not limited to Web and Internet resources.

Sec. 4. Reporting Requirements.

(a) Report. Not later than 180 days from the date of this order and annually thereafter, the Center shall prepare and submit a report to the WHOFBCI Director.

(b) Contents. The report shall include a description of the Department's efforts in carrying out its responsibilities under this order, including but not limited to:

(i) a comprehensive analysis of the barriers to the full participation of faith-based and other community organizations in the delivery of social and community services identified pursuant to section 3(a) of this order and the proposed strategies to eliminate those barriers; and

(ii) a summary of the technical assistance and other information that will be available to faith-based and other community organizations regarding the program activities of

the agency and the preparation of applications or proposals for grants, cooperative agreements, contracts, and procurement.

(c) Performance Indicators. The first report shall include annual performance indicators and measurable objectives for Departmental action. Each report filed thereafter shall measure the Department's performance against the objectives set forth in the initial report.

Sec. 5. Responsibilities of the Secretary. The Secretary shall:

(a) designate an employee within the department to serve as the liaison and point of contact with the WHOFBCI Director; and

(b) cooperate with the WHOFBCI Director and provide such information, support, and assistance to the WHOFBCI Director as requested to implement this order.

Sec. 6. General Provisions. (a) This order shall be implemented subject to the availability of appropriations and to the extent permitted by law.

(b) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by a party against the United States, its agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

GEORGE W. BUSH

THE WHITE HOUSE

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2006/03/20060307-5.html>

Comments by Barbara Ketay: In case you missed it, the separation of church and state is gone with the stroke of a pen. As of March 7, 2006, our nation's illustrious leader signed another Executive Order, which tied the Department of Homeland Security to our leader's "faith-based" churches. Wake up, all you non-profit churches – you now serve the federal government's primary spying agency. That is now your primary function.

How stupid and positively corrupt can you possibly be to take money in exchange for manipulating your flocks of idiot sheep to the national slaughter? And will you also spy on your flock and provide reports to your new master? Remember that faith-based churches are now governmental agencies – just like public schools, mainline media, and all mass communication, etc. – nothing more and nothing less.

In exchange for money, have you agreed to pacify and organize your flock in the event of a national emergency? You certainly have, for you have been ordered to do so. You are being told what to do when more "crises" hits the nation, and we know more disasters will hit our nation, as all is now planned right down to our "weather emergencies." All of this is providing the training and relocation exercises for the real crisis to come – the one that permanently collapses Constitutional America.



Christian Resistance:

An Idea Whose Time Has Come—Again

- What Does The Bible Teach About Resistance?
- What Did The Early Christians Teach And Practice?
- Should A Christian Ever Refuse To Obey Authorities?
- Should Guns Ever Be Used?
- What Does Romans 13 Teach?
- Is Preaching The Gospel More Important Than Doing Right?
- Who Broke Peter Out Of Jail?
- Why Are Fundamentalist Leaders Silent?
- What About The Civil Rights Demonstrations?

DON BOYS, Ph.D.

If you would like to receive The Trumpet Newsletter online, email Dr. Greg Dixon at drgregdixon@earthlink.net

Romans 13 & Unlimited Subservience to the Government: Where should a Bible Believing Christian Draw the Line?

It seems that every time someone such as myself attempts to encourage our Christian brothers and sisters to resist an

unconstitutional or otherwise reprehensible government policy, we hear the retort, "What about Romans Chapter 13? We Christians must submit to government. Any government. Read your Bible, and leave me alone."

Or words to that effect.

No doubt, some who use this argument are sincere. They are only repeating what they have heard their pastor and other religious leaders say. On the other hand, let's be honest enough to admit that some who use this argument are just plain lazy, apathetic, and indifferent. And Romans 13 is their escape from responsibility. I suspect this is the much larger group, by the way.

Nevertheless, for the benefit of those who are sincere (but obviously misinformed), let's briefly examine Romans Chapter 13. I quote Romans Chapter 13, verses 1 through 7, from the Authorized King James text:

"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation. For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil. Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake. For this cause pay ye tribute

also: for they are God's ministers, attending continually upon this very thing. Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honor to whom honor."

Do our Christian friends who use these verses to teach that we should not oppose President Bush or any other political leader, really believe that civil magistrates have **unlimited authority to do anything they want without opposition**? I doubt whether they truly believe that.

For example, what if our President decided to resurrect the old monarchical custom of **Jus Primæ Noctis** (Law of First Night)? That was the old medieval custom when the king claimed the right to sleep with a subject's bride on the first night of their marriage. Would our sincere Christian brethren sheepishly say, "Romans Chapter 13 says we must submit to the government"?

I think not. And would any of us respect any man who would submit to such a law?

So, there are limits to authority.

A father has authority in his home, but does this give him power to abuse his wife and children? Of course not.

An employer has authority on the job, but does this give him power to control the private lives of his employees? No.

A pastor has overseer authority in the church, but does this give him power to tell employers in his church how to run their businesses? Of course not.

All human authority is limited in nature. No man has unlimited authority over the lives of other men. (Lordship and Sovereignty is the exclusive domain of Jesus Christ.)

By the same token, a civil magistrate has authority in civil matters, but his authority is limited and defined. Observe that Romans Chapter 13 clearly limits the authority of civil government by **strictly defining its purpose**: "For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil . . .

. For he is the minister of God to thee for good . . . for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil."

Notice that civil government must not be a "terror to good works." It has no power or authority to terrorize good works or good people. God never gave it that authority. And any government that oversteps that divine boundary has no divine authority or protection. Civil government is a "minister of God to thee for good." It is not a minister of God for evil. Civil magistrates have a divine duty to "execute wrath upon him that doeth evil." **They have no authority to execute wrath upon him that doeth good.** None. Zilch. Zero. And anyone who says they do is lying.

So, even in the midst of telling Christians to submit to civil authority, **Romans Chapter 13 limits the power and reach of civil authority.**

Did Moses violate God's principle of submission to authority when he killed the Egyptian taskmaster in defense of his fellow Hebrew?

Did Elijah violate God's principle of submission to authority when he openly challenged Ahab and Jezebel?

Did David violate God's principle of submission to authority when he refused to surrender to Saul's troops?

Did Daniel violate God's principle of submission to authority when he disobeyed the king's law to not pray audibly to God?

Did the three Hebrew children violate God's principle of submission to authority when they refused to bow to the image of the state?

Did John the Baptist violate God's principle of submission to authority when he publicly scolded King Herod for his infidelity?

Did Simon Peter and the other Apostles violate God's principle of submission to authority when they refused to stop preaching on the streets of Jerusalem?

Act 5:29 Then Peter and the other apostles answered and said, We ought to **obey God rather than men.**

Did Paul violate God's principle of submission to authority when he refused to obey those authorities who demanded that he abandon his missionary work? In fact, Paul spent almost as much time in jail as he did out of jail.

Remember that every apostle of Christ (except John) was killed by hostile civil authorities opposed to their endeavors. Christians throughout church history were imprisoned, tortured, or killed by civil authorities of all stripes for refusing to submit to their various laws and prohibitions. Did all of these Christian martyrs violate God's principle of submission to authority?

So, even the great prophets, apostles, and writers of the Bible (including the writer of Romans Chapter 13) understood that human authority--even civil authority--is limited.

Plus, Paul makes it clear that our submission to civil authority must be predicated on more than fear of governmental retaliation. Notice, he said, "Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake." Meaning, our obedience to civil authority is more than just "because they said so." **It is also a matter of conscience.** This means we must think and reason for ourselves regarding the justness and rightness of our government's laws.

Obedience is not automatic or robotic. It is a result of both rational deliberation and moral approbation.

Therefore, there are times when civil authority may need to be resisted. Either

governmental abuse of power or the violation of conscience (or both) could precipitate civil disobedience. Of course, how and when we decide to resist civil authority is an entirely separate issue. And I will reserve that discussion for another time.

Beyond that, we in the United States of America do not live under a monarchy. We have no king. There is no **single governing official in this country**. America's "supreme Law" does not rest with any man or any group of men. America's "supreme Law" does not rest with the President, the Congress, or even the Supreme Court. In America, the U.S. Constitution is the "supreme Law of the Land." Under our laws, every governing official publicly promises to submit to the Constitution of the United States. Do readers understand the significance of this distinction?

This means that in America the "higher powers" are not the men who occupy elected office; they are the tenets and principles set forth in the U.S. Constitution. Under our laws and form of government, it is the duty of every citizen, including our elected officials, to obey the U.S. Constitution.

Dear Christian friend, the above is exactly the proper understanding of our responsibility to civil authority in these United States, as per the teaching of Romans Chapter 13.

Furthermore, Christians, above all people, should desire that their elected representatives submit to the Constitution, because it is constitutional government that has done more to protect Christian liberty than any governing document ever devised by man..

As a result, Christians in America (for the most part) have not had to face the painful decision to "obey God rather than men" and defy their civil authorities.

The problem in America today is that we have allowed our political leaders to violate their oaths of office and to ignore, and blatantly disobey, the "supreme Law of the Land," the U.S. Constitution.

Therefore, if we truly believe Romans Chapter 13, we will insist and demand that our civil magistrates submit to the U.S. Constitution.

Now, how many of us Christians are going to truly obey Romans Chapter 13?


Proverbs: "A righteous man falling down before the wicked is as a troubled fountain, 25:26 and a corrupt spring."

---- Original Message ----

From: [Greg Dixon](#)

Dear friend in Christ, Some fifteen years ago or more I stood in the pulpit of the Indiana Baptist College of the city of Indianapolis, which later became Heritage Baptist University, in a summer tent camp meeting and warned the pastors that this event that World Net Daily announces today would take place in the future. Most of those present stared at me with glassy eyes. One of the most prominent pastors of the area followed me to the pulpit and In his introductory remarks rebuked me publicly for preaching that type of sermon because it had nothing to do with our responsibility as preachers of getting the gospel of Christ to out. That dear brother is with the Lord now. I am still here to see the prophecy that I warned of, which was not in reality a prophecy but based on sound facts, fulfilled before the entire world. However the preachers for the most part are still glassy eyed and I am still being attacked for trying to warn them. Amazing but true.

Dr. Greg Dixon



During the coming dissolution of our Constitutional government, will your pastor cooperate with the Federal Government, as liberal Protestant pastors meekly did under the terror of Adolf Hitler?

Before you scoff, let us review our current news story.

NEWS BRIEF: ["Homeland Security Enlists Clergy to Quell Public Unrest if Martial Law Ever Declared"](#), KSLA-TV, Shreveport, LA, Aug 23, 2007 [View the actual TV video on this most explosive topic!](#)

"Could martial law ever become a reality in America? Some fear any nuclear, biological or chemical attack on U.S. soil might trigger just that. KSLA News 12 has discovered that the clergy would help the

government with potentially their biggest problem: Us."

The Federal Government rightly knows that many, many gun owners are genuine Christians. Therefore, in the early stages of the managed crisis which will give Federal officials the opportunity to dissolve our Constitutional government and take away our freedoms, they know they will have to deal with gun owners who are Bible-believing Christians. How can the government possibly convince these Christian gun owners to surrender their guns peaceably? Remember, the first acts a dictatorial government always takes is to declare martial law and then confiscate guns from the public. Therefore, this discussion is not an academic one, is it?

"... gun confiscation is exactly what happened during the state of emergency following Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans, along with forced relocation. U.S. Troops also arrived, something far easier to do now, thanks to last year's elimination of the 1878 Posse Comitatus act, which had forbid regular U.S. Army troops from policing on American soil." (Ibid.)

The government plans to create the conditions ripe for the imposition of dictatorship. What is their plan?

- 1) Huge disaster -- in this case, the Illuminati plans another disaster on the scale of 9/11, or possibly even greater. Such a disaster would provide the pretext to implement their plan created over 100 years ago; we speak more of this fact, below, as we discuss *"The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion"*.
- 2) Forced relocation from their homes -- New Orleans residents were forced to relocate out of their neighborhoods, in many instances to other states. Dislocation is key to disruption of normal life for tens of millions of civilians.
- 3) American troops could patrol the city, because Presidents Clinton and Bush have consistently eroded the prohibitions of the [Posse' Comitatus Act](#). It generally prohibits Federal military personnel and units of the [United States National Guard](#) under Federal authority from acting in a law enforcement capacity within the United States, except where expressly authorized by the [Constitution](#) or [Congress](#). The Posse Comitatus Act and the [Insurrection Act](#) substantially limit the powers of the Federal government to use the military for law enforcement. In New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina, Army troops did engage in law enforcement duties, as the video, below, demonstrates.

Now, let us return to this feature story, so we can see the role the government envisions for clergymen who do not know their Bibles. You will literally see that deceived and/or "wolves in sheep's clothing" clergymen are going to fulfill the role of the "Judas Goat", the animal which shepherders use to be the first up the ramp to the slaughter truck, knowing that, once

sheep see the goat going up the ramp, they will quietly and meekly follow -- going to their slaughter without resistance!

"If martial law were enacted here at home, like depicted in the movie 'The Siege', easing public fears and quelling dissent would be critical. And that's exactly what the '*Clergy Response Team*' helped accomplish in the wake of Katrina. Dr. Durell Tuberville serves as chaplain for the Shreveport Fire Department and the Caddo Sheriff's Office. Tuberville said of the clergy team's mission, 'the primary thing that we say to anybody is, 'let's cooperate and get this thing over with and then we'll settle the differences *once the crisis is over.*' " (Ibid., Emphasis added)

That last concept is most interesting, don't you think? Liberal clergy will be assuring their flock that they will soon get their freedoms and their Constitution back "*once the crisis is over*". Isn't it interesting that the Illuminati thought of this very concept as they planned over 100 years ago to take our freedoms from us? Listen to their exact wording:

"4. WE ARE WOLVES -- The [people] are a flock of sheep, and we are their wolves. And you know what happens when the wolves get hold of the flock?

5. There is another reason also why they will close their eyes: for we shall keep promising them to give back all the liberties we have taken away as soon as we have quelled the enemies of peace and tamed all parties

6. It is not worthwhile to say anything about how long a time they will be kept waiting for this return of their liberties" ["PROTOCOL 11 -- THE TOTALITARIAN STATE", The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion]

Did you completely understand this last sentence? "It is not worthwhile to say anything about how long a time they will be kept waiting for this return of their liberties".

To see how important this false assurance is going to be to these clergymen in convincing people to cooperate with the Federal Government, let us return to this current news article.

"Civil rights advocates believe the amount of public cooperation during such a time of unrest may ultimately depend on how long they expect a suspension of rights might last." (Ibid.)

All clergymen who plan on cooperating with the Federal Government by holding up the false hope that, when the crisis is over, the people will get their freedoms and their Constitution back, had better think again! No hungry wolf ever spares the life of his victim once he has him in his jaws. Neither will this most power-hungry Illuminati ever give his victims another chance once he has disbanded the beloved American Constitution.

Lest you think I am wrong on this issue, listen to a current Illuminati boldly state this part of the Plan.

"Gen. Tommy Franks says that if the United States is hit with a weapon of mass destruction that inflicts large casualties, the Constitution will likely be discarded in favor of a military form of government ... if terrorists succeeded in using a weapon of mass destruction (WMD) against the U.S. or one of our allies, it would likely have catastrophic consequences for our cherished republican form of government." ("Gen. Franks Doubts Constitution Will Survive WMD Attack", by NewsMax, 11/21/03; read our analysis in [NEWS1979](#)).

At this point, you must be asking yourself a pertinent question: since Christians place much stock in the Bible, will these liberal clergymen be able to base their message of cooperation with the government upon any Scripture?

Let us return to our news story:

"For the clergy team, one of the biggest tools that they will have in helping calm the public down or to obey the law is the bible itself, specifically Romans 13. Dr. Tuberville elaborated,

'because the government's established by the Lord, you know. And, that's what we believe in the Christian faith. That's what's stated in the scripture'." (Ibid.)

Too many churches and pastors have been misleading their flocks on this issue for the past 100 years. Cutting Edge recently posted an article written by Pastor Chuck Baldwin on this very subject. While we cannot quote it at length here, we encourage you to read it fully, for Pastor Baldwin debunks the use of Romans 13 as the basis for meekly cooperating with the government all of the time, and under any circumstances. This article is [NEWS2229](#).

During the reign of Adolf Hitler, three prominent Protestant theologians were dramatically successful in convincing German Protestants to cooperate with Hitler, including with his genocide of 18 million "Devalued People". (See DVD pictured above, "[Theologians Under Hitler](#)"). You will be shocked)

The Illuminati here in America is using this same tactic, and will most assuredly get the same cooperative response, from a Christian population not familiar enough with Romans 13 to know when they are being deceived.

When America has reached this unfortunate point, will these liberal clergymen also use the powers of their office to assist the government in the persecution of true believers who are not willing to give the Federal Government carte blanche authority based on Romans 13, or who are not willing to compromise their beliefs to accommodate the new political/religious paradigm?

Will these liberal christian leaders act like the liberal churchmen of Hitler's Germany in identifying recalcitrant church members and turn them in to the dictatorial authorities?

I personally believe that much of the reason genuine Christians will be turned in to authorities is because church leaders submissive to the government will turn them in. Hitler was so successful in turning Germany from a democracy to the kind of dictatorial power which could murder 18 million devalued people and begin a war which would consume the lives of tens of millions, that the current Illuminati leadership is following his example.

If you are a genuine Christian pastor, are you prepared for officers of Homeland Security to enter your sanctuary, to sit down on your front row -- dressed in intimidating official clothing - - and to listen to your sermon and your announcements? Are you prepared to have them arrest you after the service for not adhering to official government guidelines as to what you can and cannot say?

These intimidation tactics worked extremely well during Hitler's reign, and they will work once again, all over the world. Pastors need to remember the era in which they are living, and not succumb to the temporary political and religious pressure from your Illuminist government, but keep their minds focused on the eternal.

This following Biblical passage is simply pregnant with meaning for pastors and other church leaders of this present era.

Proverbs : "A righteous man falling down before the wicked is as a troubled fountain, and a corrupt spring."

Feds Train Clergy To "Quell Dissent" During Martial Law

Shocking KSLA 12 news report confirms story we broke last year, Pastors to cite Romans 13 as reason for public to obey government orders, relinquish guns and be taken to camps during state of emergency

Paul Joseph Watson

[Prison Planet](#)

Thursday, August 16, 2007

A shocking KSLA news report has confirmed the story we first broke last year, that Clergy Response Teams are being trained by the federal government to "quell dissent" and pacify citizens to obey the government in the event of a declaration of martial law.

In May 2006, we [exposed the existence of a nationwide FEMA program](#) which is training Pastors and other religious representatives to become secret police enforcers who teach their congregations to "obey the government" in preparation for the implementation of martial law, property and firearm seizures, mass vaccination programs and forced relocation.

A whistleblower who was secretly enrolled into the program told us that the feds were clandestinely recruiting religious leaders to help implement Homeland Security directives in anticipation of a potential bio-terrorist attack, any natural disaster or a nationally declared emergency.

The **first government led directive was for Pastors to preach to their congregations Romans 13**, the often taken out of context bible passage that was used by Hitler to hoodwink Christians into supporting him, in order to teach them to "obey the government" when martial law is declared.

It was related to the Pastors that quarantines, martial law and forced relocation were a problem for state authorities when enforcing federal mandates due to the "cowboy mentality" of citizens standing up for their property and second amendment rights as well as farmers defending their crops and livestock from seizure.

It was stressed that the Pastors needed to preach subservience to the authorities ahead of time in preparation for the round-ups and to make it clear to the congregation that "this is for their own good."

Pastors were told that they would be backed up by law enforcement in controlling uncooperative individuals and that they would even lead SWAT teams in attempting to quell resistance.

Though some doubted the accuracy of this report at the time due to its fundamentally disturbing implications, the story has now been confirmed by a [KSLA 12 news report](#), in which participating clergy and officials admit to the existence of the program.

Watch the "Clergy Response Team" video at:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SRIDNQNsUss>

Such clergy response teams would walk a tight-rope during martial law between the demands of the government on the one side, versus the wishes of the public on the other.

"In a lot of cases, these clergy would already be known in the neighborhoods in which they're helping to diffuse that situation," assured Sandy Davis. He serves as the director of the Caddo-Bossier Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness.

For the clergy team, one of the biggest tools that they will have in helping calm the public down or to obey the law is the bible itself, specifically Romans 13. Dr. Tuberville elaborated, **"because the government's established by the Lord, you know. And, that's what we believe in the Christian faith. That's what's stated in the scripture."**

Screenshot from the KSLA 12 news article that accompanies the video report

(<http://www.ksla.com/Global/story.asp?S=6937987>).

So there you have it - Homeland Security are working with local police departments and

religious leaders to prepare for the declaration of martial law and in particular developing techniques they will employ during the crisis to "quell dissent."

Phony Christian leaders are brainwashing their congregations to accept the premise that the totalitarian police state is "of the Lord" and that they should get on their knees and lick jackboots while the round-ups take place as citizens are processed into quarantine zones and detention camps by the National Guard and U.S. troops returning from Iraq.

The precedent for mass gun confiscation and martial law in times of a real or manufactured emergency was set during Hurricane Katrina, when police and National Guard patrols forced home owners - even in areas unaffected by the hurricane - to [hand over their legally owned firearms at gunpoint](#).

This is a clear precursor for the imminent declaration of a state of emergency, a scenario that [President Bush codified in his recent Presidential Decision Directive of May 9th](#), which **states in the event of a "catastrophic event" the President can take total control over the government and the country, bypassing all other levels of government at the state, federal, local, territorial and tribal levels, and thus ensuring total unprecedented dictatorial power.**

The scope of the program is so secretive that even [Homeland Security Committee member and Congressman Peter DeFazio was denied access](#) to view the classified portion of the documents.

Clergy to be used to quell dissent

WDN--August 18, 2007--A government plan to use members of the clergy to quell dissent and objections to government orders during a time of national emergency has been revealed by a Shreveport, La., television station. The [story by reporter Jeff Ferrell](#) on television station KSLA says such "Clergy Response Teams" already have been used - following the hit on New Orleans by hurricane Katrina. [The station's video](#) is available on a [link on its website](#), and also [available on YouTube](#). It asks if martial law ever could become reality in the United States, following a nuclear, biological or chemical attack.

The report said one of the biggest tools the clergy members would use would be the Bible itself, specifically Romans 13, where Tuberville said the Bible states "the government's established by the Lord, you know. And, that's what we believe in the Christian faith. That's what's stated in the Scripture." [A blogger for the Christian education site, Chalcedon](#) noted that the training has been going on in secret for over a year already.

"The clergy are being advised to use Romans 13 to encourage parishioners to submit to the sudden and massive expansion of government control that takes place during martial law," the writer said.

[WND already has documented a series of executive orders by the president, that so far give the government broad new powers to address private property if it's related to any one of several issues, all of which are foreign so far.](#)

One recent order, for example, [gives Bush the power to freeze the assets of people who threaten Iraq's stability](#). A former Reagan administration official says the wording is so broad it could be applied to any domestic opponent of the Iraq war who has assets in the U.S.

To view the entire article, visit

http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=57219

that even [Homeland Security Committee member and Congressman Peter DeFazio](#) was [denied access](#) to view the classified portion of the documents.

http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=57219

**Secret FEMA Plan To Use Pastors as Pacifiers in Preparation For Martial Law
Nationwide initiative trains volunteers to teach congregations to "obey the
government" during seizure of guns, property, forced inoculations and forced
relocation**

[Paul Joseph Watson/Prison Planet.com | May 24 2006](#)

A Pastor has come forward to blow the whistle on a nationwide FEMA program which is training Pastors and other religious representatives to become secret police enforcers who teach their congregations to "obey the government" in preparation for a declaration of martial law, property and firearm seizures, and forced relocation.

In March of this year the Pastor, who we shall refer to as Pastor Revere, was invited to attend a meeting of his local FEMA chapter which circulated around preparedness for a potential bio-terrorist attack, any natural disaster or a nationally declared emergency.

The FEMA directors told the Pastors that attended that it was their job to help implement FEMA and Homeland Security directives in anticipation of any of these eventualities. The first directive was for Pastors to preach to their congregations Romans 13, the often taken out of context bible passage that was used by Hitler to hoodwink Christians into supporting him, in order to teach them to "obey the government" when martial law is declared.

It was related to the Pastors that quarantines, martial law and forced relocation were a problem for state authorities when enforcing federal mandates due to the "cowboy mentality" of citizens standing up for their property and second amendment rights as well as farmers defending their crops and livestock from seizure. It was stressed that the Pastors needed to preach subservience to the authorities ahead of time in preparation for the round-ups and to make it clear to the congregation that "this is for their own good."

We have received confirmation from other preachers and Pastors that this program is a nationwide initiative and a literal Soviet model whereby the churches are being systematically infiltrated by government volunteers and used as conduits for martial law training and conditioning. The Pastor was told that over 13,000 counties were already on board.

It falls under the umbrella of the [NVOAD program](#) which is training volunteers in a "Peer to Peer" program in a neighborhood setting.

Pastors were told that they would be backed up by law enforcement in controlling uncooperative individuals and that they would even lead SWAT teams in attempting to quell resistance.



Police provide cover for a FEMA house-by-house search in New Orleans.

"We get the the picture that we're going to be standing at the end of some farmer's lane while he's standing there with his double barrel, saying we have to confiscate your cows, your chickens, your firearms," said Pastor Revere.

The Pastor elaborated on how the directives were being smoke screened by an Orwellian alteration of their names.

"They're not using the term 'quarantine' - this is the term they're going to be using - it's called 'social distancing' don't you like that one," said the Pastor.

He also highlighted how detention camps had been renamed to give them a friendly warm veneer.

"Three months ago it was quarantine and relocation centers and now it's 'community centers' and these are going to be activated at the local schools," he said.

Pastor Revere outlined the plan to carry out mass vaccination and enforced drugging programs in times of crisis such as a bird flu outbreak.

"In the event of an outbreak or a bio-terrorist attack, there'd be a mass vaccination....they have a program nationwide 'Pills in People's Palm In 48 Hours'," said the Pastor who was told that Walmart had been designated as the central outlet of this procedure.

Pastor Revere said that many attendees believed in the necessity of the program and were completely unaware to the motivations behind its true purpose and were offered incentives to become volunteers such as preferential treatment and first access for themselves and their families to vaccines and food shipments in times of emergency. Which roads to close off after martial law was declared had also already been mapped out.

The precedent for mass gun confiscation in times of real or manufactured emergency was set during Hurricane Katrina when police and national guard patrols forced homeowners even in areas unaffected by the hurricane to hand over their legally owned firearms at gunpoint as is detailed in the video below.

In the following video Alex Jones exposes FEMA's deliberate sabotage of Hurricane Katrina relief efforts which were used as a platform for a beat test of forced relocation and gun confiscation.

Alex Jones' 2001 documentary film [9/11: The Road to Tyranny](#) featured footage from a FEMA symposium given to firefighters and other emergency personnel in Kansas City in which it was stated that the founding fathers, Christians and homeschoolers were terrorists and should be treated with the utmost suspicion and brutality in times of national emergency.

We have highlighted previous training manuals issues by state and federal government bodies which identify whole swathes of the population as potential terrorists. A Texas [Department of Public Safety Criminal Law Enforcement pamphlet](#) gives the public characteristics to identify terrorists that include buying baby formula, beer, wearing Levi jeans, carrying identifying documents like a drivers license and traveling with women or children.

A [Virginia training manual](#) used to help state employees recognize terrorists lists anti-government and property rights activists as terrorists and includes binoculars, video cameras, pads and notebooks in a compendium of terrorist tools.

Shortly after 9/11 a [Phoenix FBI manual](#) that was disseminated amongst federal employees at the end of the Clinton term caused waves on the Internet after it was revealed that potential terrorists included, "defenders of the US Constitution against federal government and the UN, " and individuals who "make numerous references to the US Constitution." Lawyers everywhere cowered in fear at being shipped off to Gitmo.

In December 2003 the [FBI warned Americans](#) nationwide to be on the lookout for people reading Almanacs as this could indicate an act of terrorism in planning. Almanacs are popular glove box inventory of any vehicle and this ludicrous fearmongering was met with a raucous response from satirists and news commentators. In another twilight zone Nazi-like spectacle, Pastors were asked to make a pledge or an affirmation during the meeting to fulfil the roles ascribed to them by FEMA. They were given assurances that they would be covered by full compensation in the event of resisters injuring them during property seizures and round-ups.

The Pastor said that his county had already succumbed to a tattle-tale like mentality where neighbors were reporting neighbors to the authorities for things like having chickens in their back yard. The brown shirt precedent has been set whereby people immediately turn to the authorities in fealty whenever their paranoid suspicions, fueled by zealous government and media fearmongering, are heightened.

Pastor Revere said the completion of the first stage of the program was slated for August 31st. At this point all the counties within the United States would be networked as part of the so-called disaster relief program.

We issue a challenge to all of our readers to print off this article and the [supporting documents](#) and confront their local preacher with it. If they don't receive a response within a week they should investigate further into whether their preacher is involved and hand out information to other members of the congregation.

[Click here](#) to listen to the Pastor's interview on the Alex Jones Show.

The Surrender and Betrayal of the Church The Unholy Union of the Church with the State thru Incorporation and Tax Exemption by Sam Adams

A great and treacherous apostasy has taken place in the churches of America to which most Christians are totally oblivious, but which almost every church in America has

fallen prey to. Though the New Testament Church has been called out to be the faithful bride of her one and only husband, the Lord Jesus Christ, she has betrayed her espoused head. The Apostle Paul said to the church at Corinth, "For I am jealous over you with a godly jealousy; for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ. But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtilty, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ" (2 Cor. 11:2-3). Just as Old Testament Israel was unfaithful to her husband and went "whoring" after other gods, so has the Church in America corrupted herself, and as the Apostle feared, has indeed been beguiled by the Serpent as was Eve. Like the church at Ephesus she has left her first love, and has joined herself in an adulterous affair with another head, the civil government, otherwise known as the state, and has thereby been neutralized from being the "salt" of our society (Mt 5:13). This betrayal has taken place by: (1) re-organizing the churches into fictitious corporations which by law are created by and therefore controlled by the state, and by: (2) entering into a traitorous contract with the government to limit their message and influence in society in exchange for tax exemption. Though the Bible clearly teaches that Christ is to be head over all things to the church (Eph. 1:22), the churches have taken the idolatrous position that the Lord Jesus must share his lordship over his Church with the state; and they are furthermore, except for a few brave souls, refusing to see how great and abominable this sin is, and are refusing to repent and correct their error. In this exposé the following facts will be conclusively shown:

1. Incorporated "churches" are not and cannot be true New Testament churches, in that:

- Corporations are created by the state, not by Jesus;
- Their head and master by law is the State, not Jesus;
- Their final authority for faith and practice by law is their corporate charter and statute law, not the Bible;
- At law their property belongs to the State, not to God
- Their pastors do not have Biblical authority (per Heb. 13:7, 17; etc.) over their flocks but are mere hirelings (John 10:12) under authority of the corporate trustees, and:

2. Tax Exemption for "not-for-profit" organizations (for corporations, trusts and unincorporated associations) under Internal Revenue Code sec. 501(c)3 is a trap used by the government to control the churches and silence the preachers of America from influencing our government and society, and from crying out against wicked government policies, politics and politicians. For the churches to enter into such a treacherous contract with the state constitutes a grievous, abominable SIN. In fact, for all practical purposes it is a sin very similar to taking the "mark of the beast" (Rev. 13) upon the Church, in betraying the Lordship of Christ by bowing to the supremacy of the state. The civil government has always, from the first century onward, attempted to control and subjugate the Church of the Lord Jesus Christ. The Jewish Sanhedrin asked Peter of his authority to preach and heal in Acts 4:7, "By what power, or by what name [(i.e., By whose authority)], have ye done this?" This was essentially the same question they had asked the Lord Jesus in Matt 21:23: "By what authority doest thou these things? and who gave thee this authority?" They wanted the disciples to ask them for their permission or authority to preach. They may have let them preach about Jesus, but only under their authority. It has been the same down through the ages, through the days of intense and bloody persecution of the true church by the Roman Caesars and then for many centuries by the Roman Papacy, after the apostate church entered into an unholy

marriage compact with Roman emperor Constantine in the fourth century. The issue has always been who would control the Church; civil/ecclesiastical government, or the Lord Jesus. One of the primary reasons God had so blessed America is that under our Constitutional system and Bill of Rights, the Church could for the first time in history function unhindered by the state. Before that time, even in America, most of the 13 colonies had their own state churches, which often persecuted those of dissenting denominations. Patrick Henry's timeless quote, "I know not what course other men may take, but as for me, give me liberty or give me death" was inspired when he witnessed a man being publicly flogged in Culpepper, Virginia, in March of 1775. The man was one of twelve Baptist preachers jailed for preaching without a license from the state (Episcopal) church. In 1789 there were many delegates to the Constitutional Convention who were still of the belief that the nation must select and support a state church. It was primarily at the insistence of the Baptists of Rhode Island, assisted by Madison and Jefferson of Virginia, that the First Amendment was adopted guaranteeing that no particular denominational sect would be sanctioned by the government. During Madison's presidency the Episcopal Church sought incorporation through Congress. Madison vetoed the legislation, saying that if the government incorporated the Episcopal Church it would have created a state church. For over a hundred years after the adoption of the Bill of Rights, the Church stood rightly as the "watchdog" over the government, holding government accountable to the Word of God (Ps. 149:5-9). Legislation in Christian America back then had to pass the "pulpit test"; if it wouldn't preach well from the pulpits it would surely not pass in the legislature. Sadly, those days are now long gone and the Church has been effectively silenced. It has become incorporated, and it has, to its shame, become a state church. A CORPORATION CANNOT BE A CHURCH A true New Testament church cannot organize as a corporation, because a corporation cannot be a true New Testament church. What exactly is a corporation? Black's Law Dictionary (6th. Ed.) defines a corporation as "an artificial person or legal entity created by or under the authority of the laws of a state. An association of persons created by statute as a legal entity." By law, corporations are created by the state and are therefore by law under the state's jurisdiction and control. To affirm this point, in the landmark case of *Hale v. Hinkle*, 201 U.S. 43 (1906), the U.S. Supreme Court made the following very revealing declaration: "A corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter. Its powers are limited by law. It can make no contract not authorized by its charter. Its right to act as a corporation are only preserved to it so long as it obeys the laws of its creation. There is a reserved right in the legislature to investigate its contracts and ascertain if it has exceeded its power." (emphasis added) It should be obvious to every thinking Christian that every statement in the above legal definition of a corporation is diametrically opposed to the Biblical definition of the Church and to the lordship of Christ over His church. Consider these statements one by one: "A corporation is a creature of the state..." Our copyright and patent laws are based on the legal maxim that the creator always has ownership and control over his creation. By law whatever the state creates, the state controls. A New Testament church cannot be a creature of the state; Jesus founded the church and said He would build His church. "...It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the

public...” The Church exists for the benefit of its members (1 Cor. 12) and its head, the Lord Jesus Christ, NOT the public. Jesus promised us that the world (the public) would HATE the Church (John 15:18-

19). Corporate churches must by law exist for the benefit of the public, which is exactly why the IRS says they cannot publicly preach any “propaganda” contrary to established public policy. “...It receives certain special privileges and franchises...” (the Church exists and functions as a matter of right, not of privilege; by order of the Lord Jesus’ commission in Matthew 28:18-20, not by permission from the government) “and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter [(not the Bible)]. Its powers are limited by law [(not the Bible)]. It can make no contract not authorized by its charter [(not the Bible)]. Its right to act as a corporation are only preserved to it so long as it obeys the laws of its creation.” By law the first and final authority for the corporation is the corporation’s charter

(corporate constitution and by-laws) and corporate statute law, not the Bible. “There is a reserved right in the legislature to investigate its contracts and ascertain if it has exceeded its power.” Regarding this right, the court also said: “the right of visitation [by government] is for the purpose of control and to see that the corporation keeps within the limits of its powers.” A corporate church has no privacy in its membership, financial or other business records, which may be ordered at any time to be open to public scrutiny and control. Incorporated churches are therefore informants for the government regarding the finances and contributions of its members. Corporate churches are required to conduct regular business meetings and maintain minutes from those meetings, also open to public scrutiny. Also, by corporate law, all property owned by the corporation is held in trust by the trustees for the beneficiary of the trust, which is the state; i.e., the property is ultimately owned by the state. Under corporate law, Biblical church government is turned on its head as the trustees run the corporation on behalf of the State, and are over the pastor in authority. The church is converted to a business, the pastor is reduced to a CEO of the business and is now a mere hireling

(John 10:12). Consider the glaring contrast between the above quote from the *Hale v. Hinkle* ruling and the 1st Amendment to the Constitution: “Congress shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.” That means Congress can neither protect nor restrict the Church’s activities. Congress has no jurisdiction to make any law which applies to the Church. Conversely, as stated in *Hale v. Hinkle*, corporations cannot exist or function apart from statute law! When a church incorporates, it is then seen in the eyes of the law (by the courts) as a corporation, not a church. As such, incorporated churches are not protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution, but are entities over which the courts and the legislature may take jurisdiction and command to perform according to their orders. That is how the courts can hand down decisions against incorporated churches that seem to violate the First Amendment, when actually the First Amendment does not even apply! Incorporated “churches” have no 1st Amendment rights; they have willingly given up First Amendment protection in exchange for corporate privileges and government subsidies, just as Jacob’s older brother Esau traded his birthright for a mess of pottage. Beyond this, however, and by far the most critical issue, is that the head of every incorporated “church” is the State, not the Lord Jesus. TAX EXEMPTION vs. TAX IMMUNITY As if incorporation itself wasn’t bad enough, the incorporated “church” then

goes to the state to humbly beg for tax exemption as a “Non-Profit Charitable Organization” (see Jer. 2:11, “but my people have changed their glory for that which doth not profit”). The true Church, protected under the 1st Amendment, is actually non-taxable; it is immune from taxation and needs no exemption, which is only a privileged exception extended to taxable entities at the government’s expense. As such, the Supreme Court ruled in the Bob Jones University case that tax exemption for non-profit organizations is a government subsidy. Regarding their Supreme Court ruling, Dr. Bob Jones III wrote the following summary

(emphasis added), clearly showing that tax exemption is a trap: “From 1971 until 1983 Bob Jones University was in controversy with the IRS over its tax-exempt status. The issue culminated in a 1983 ruling against this institution by the U.S. Supreme Court declaring that since we held views that were contrary to prevailing federal public policy we would forfeit our exemption...the court ruled in our case that tax exemption was a subsidy...and that religious organizations had to yield their religious beliefs in favor of ‘overriding government interests.” In case you missed it, that quote said tax exemption is a government subsidy. What the government subsidizes, it also controls. Tax Exemption is a trap, which has been intentionally baited and sprung by the government to lure and draw the churches outside of Constitutional protection, and thereby to control the churches and pulpits of America and prevent them from speaking out against established government policy. To receive tax exemption the corporate “churches” must enter into a treacherous covenant with the IRS to preach public policy and remain silent on all issues before the legislature. This “new covenant” for the corporate church, otherwise known as Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)3, reads as follows: Sec. 501. Exemption from Tax on Corporations, Certain Trusts, etc...

(c). List of Exempt Organizations...

(3). Corporations, and any community chest, fund, or foundation, organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary, or educational purposes or to foster national or international amateur sports competition, or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals, no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual, no substantial part of the activities of which is carrying on propaganda [?] or otherwise attempting to influence legislation (except as otherwise provided in subsection (h)), and which does not participate in, or intervene in, (including the publishing or distributing of statements), any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office. (emphasis added) By begging for tax exemption under IRC 501(c)3 the incorporated churches have lumped themselves together with secular and pagan organizations (most of which are allowed to lobby to influence legislation under an exception clause not offered to churches; see 501(h)(5)); and have made an agreement with the government not to “carry on propaganda” (not defined in the IR Code, but defined in the BJU case quoted above as speaking out against “prevailing Federal public policy”) or to “attempt to influence legislation.” If an issue such as homosexual rights or child pornography or abortion rights is being considered in Congress, pastors of 501(c)3 incorporated churches are not allowed to tell their people to call their congressmen to voice their views, as that is attempting to influence legislation. They are not allowed to take out ads in the local news media to inform Christians on legislative issues. Technically, IRC 501(c)3 can be interpreted to completely disallow preachers

from even preaching from their own pulpits on political issues. The day will soon come that the “propaganda” forbidden by 501(c)3 will include preaching the Gospel that the only way to heaven is through the Lord Jesus. Actually, under current “hate crime” legislation, that day is already upon us. For preachers to say (as many do when confronted with the issue) that they can make such an agreement without intending to keep it constitutes fraud, and is exactly parallel to taking the mark of the beast with their fingers crossed behind their backs. Also, any Christian who believes the Church should be confined to its own four walls and should not influence government or society needs to re-read his Bible, particularly such passages as Matt. 5:13-14, Matt. 28:18 (“all authority in heaven and in earth”), Rev. 5:10, Is. 9:6-7, Ps. 2:8-12 and Ps. 149:5-9 which shows that all of God’s saints have the honor of holding kings and nobles accountable to the two-edged sword, the word of God (Heb 4:12). Since Jesus is the “king of kings” then all civil authorities answer to him (Rom 13:6). He is presently working on this earth through His Church, which is to be the salt of the earth. The Bible Subjected to the Internal Revenue Code No incorporated tax-exempt "church" can honestly claim that it is operating under the fundamental doctrine of the Sole Authority of the Scriptures. Incorporated tax-exempt churches have by their contract not only placed the Bible under their corporate charter, but also under the Internal Revenue Code in authority. Consider the following quote from the opening statement of the Constitution and By-laws of an independent, fundamental Baptist church in Land O’ Lakes, Florida: Section 2 - Purpose A) This congregation is organized and incorporated as a church exclusively for charitable, religious, and educational purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)3 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (or the corresponding provision of any future United States Revenue law)... (emphasis added) In case you missed it, that said “exclusively” within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code! This blatant apostasy and treacherous betrayal is not unique to this church but is fairly common as this very wording has been promoted by the lying deceivers of the CLA, the “Christian Law (Lie) Association.” This is an outright betrayal of the blood of the martyrs through the centuries who were tortured and burned at the stake for standing on the sole authority of the scriptures. It is also a betrayal of another of the main distinctive doctrines of the Baptists held throughout history, a doctrine of which modern-day Baptists seem to be sadly ignorant: the doctrine of the Separation of Church and State. (Note: historically Baptists were the few that believed it is not the Church’s job to control the government, neither is it the government’s job to control the Church. History was dominated from the 4th through the 18th centuries first by the Popes and then by the Protestants enforcing false doctrines by the edge of the sword and persecuting, torturing and murdering the Baptists.) This is not just a Baptist issue, but Baptists must wake up and see that any preacher that pastors an incorporated, 501(c)3 tax-exempt (state-sponsored) church, and at the same time preaches against worldly attire, worldly music, smoking, or other personal compromises is straining at gnats when he has swallowed a camel (Matt 23:24). He can preach against the NIV or NASV on behalf of the King James Bible, but his first and final authority is the IRC (Internal Revenue Code) and his corporate constitution and by-laws, not the Bible. He can preach against sexual immorality and adultery, but he has committed spiritual adultery by marrying the bride of Christ to another husband. He can call himself Baptist, but he has compromised and betrayed both Baptist distinctive doctrines of the Sole Authority of the Scripture and the

Separation of Church and State, and is unworthy of the name Baptist and those doctrines for which many thousands of saints courageously and willingly gave their lives. "COME OUT FROM AMONG THEM" Many pastors and churches have awakened from their ignorance and now see that it is wrong, immoral, idolatrous, unbiblical and sinful to allow the Church to be organized as a 501(c)3 tax-exempt corporation (or any other similar statutory tax-exempt organization) and are now standing up for the Lordship of Christ over His Church no matter what the cost. The main reason for their conviction is the premise that Christ alone is the one and only authority over the Church, as found in Ephesians 1:19-23: "And what is the exceeding greatness of his power to us who believe, according to the working of his mighty power, Which he wrought in Christ, when he raised him from the dead, and set him at his own right hand in the heavenly places, Far above all principality, and power, and might, and dominion, and every name that is named, not only in this world, but also in that which is to come: And hath put all things under his feet, and gave him to be the head over all things to the church, Which is his body, the fullness of him that filleth all in all." The central issue concerning church incorporation, based on Ephesians 1:22, (also Matt. 16:18, Col. 1;18, and Eph. 5:23) is that the Lord Jesus Christ has sole jurisdiction and authority over the Church in all matters; therefore: **THE CHURCH CANNOT ANSWER TO ANY AUTHORITY OTHER THAN JESUS CHRIST.** Jesus is head over all things to the church. That means the civil government has no authority whatsoever over the church. To grant authority over the church to anyone other than Jesus is denial of Ephesians 1:22 and is absolute idolatry. America's founding fathers understood this, when they wrote in the 1st Amendment to the Constitution, "Congress shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." Congress has no jurisdiction to restrict or tax the Church. As the Supreme Court has stated, "the power to tax involves the power to destroy." Congress does NOT have authority to destroy the Church through any form of taxation. Congress cannot tax the Church because the government cannot tax God or take His tithe. This is why the Church is non-taxable and does not need any "exemption." Even if the 1st Amendment had not been written, if Congress made any law apply to the Church, the Church would be duty-bound to ignore the law, because the State has no authority over the Church. This same principle applies to local government. For the Church to go to local government for occupancy permit, marriage license or any other permit or license is to acknowledge another head over the Church. We cannot take a license from government to do what God commands. This is why during the 1600's, John Bunyan, author of "The Pilgrim's Progress," spent 13 years in jail - at great price to his wife and children - for preaching the gospel without a license from the Anglican state church. This is why Baptist preachers were persecuted in Virginia and other colonies even up until the 1770's; for preaching the gospel without a license from the state church. 1st century Christians were martyred by Rome not because they were Christians, but because they refused to accept Caesar's lordship and seek permission ("licit") from Caesar to be Christians. In going to the government for incorporation and tax exemption, the churches have done what the martyrs refused to do; they have asked the state to license the church, and thereby have voluntarily surrendered Jesus' lordship over the church to the government, "even denying the Lord that bought them" (2 Pet 2:1). "But they're not telling me what to preach!" This is the typical reaction most preachers have

when confronted with this information. They think that if the government is not holding them to the contract they have signed and the covenant they have made, then they have no problem. They say that when they are told what to preach (which in fact they already are), then they will get out of the corporate trap, or they will simply not honor their contract and will preach what they want to anyway. As stated, this dishonest and dishonorable approach constitutes fraud. Furthermore, the problem is not whether or not the government is enforcing the contract; the problem is in the preachers' willingness to give the government jurisdiction over the Church that only belongs to Jesus! "Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether of sin unto death, or of obedience unto righteousness?" (Rom. 6:16). Again, incorporating a church and begging for tax-exemption involves the same sin as taking the mark of the beast; both acts deny the exclusive lordship of Christ by bowing to the supremacy of the state. This issue is totally one of Lordship. While God "winks" at the sins we commit in ignorance (Ac. 17:30, 1 Tim. 1:13), the pastors of America must now repent and free their churches from the wicked covenants they have made with the state, and return their churches to the Lord Jesus. "Remember therefore from whence thou art fallen, and repent, and do the first works; or else I will come unto thee quickly, and will remove thy candlestick out of his place, except thou repent" (Rev 2:5). It is time for the Church to be the Church; for the Bible to once again be our sole authority for faith and practice, and for the Lord Jesus Christ to once again be our only Lord and Master. Amen.

A Petition/Demand to Dissolve a Corporate Religious Charity and Then Establish a Free Church From Pastor Slattery

Petition to Dissolve the Corporate Charter and
Revoke Section 501 (c)(3) Status

By David Layton Wilson

To the Board of _____ Church, Incorporated.

GREETINGS TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS MAY COME.

By my signature below, I certify that I have read and understand the pertinent parts of Title 26, United States Code, Section 501 (c)(3) and IRS Form 1023, that pertain to churches.

WHEREAS, there is no law making it mandatory for a body of believers in Jesus Christ to exist as a legal fiction nonprofit corporation thereby replacing the authority of Jesus Christ as the head of the church with the statutory authority of the state, and

WHEREAS, even if there were a law of man making it mandatory for a body of believers in Jesus Christ to exist as a legal fiction nonprofit corporation or any other form of legal entity thereby replacing the sole authority of Jesus Christ as the head of the church with the statutory authority of the state, that law would be null and void on it's face as a lesser authority can not bind a greater. To wit a creation of man can not wield authority over a creation of God.

WHEREAS, churches have never been technically exempt from taxation but rather immune from taxation and contributions have always been tax deductible on Form 1040's of those who are liable to file such form; this being automatic without the church applying for Section 501 (c)(3) tax exempt status, and

WHEREAS, most likely church directors/officers were misled by, albeit, well-meaning Professional advisers, by withholding material facts, the effects of such uninformed decisions having devastating unintended consequences on this body of Believers and their children, and

WHEREAS there is the possibility that Church directors/officers were indeed traitorous to Christ and his Local Church, knowing full well the fact that no servant can serve two masters, but that they did with malice intent surrender the headship of Christ over his local Church for filthy lucre sake or some other nefarious intent to the state,

WHEREAS, by dissolving the corporate charter of this Church and revoking Section 501 (c)(3) status should have no adverse change in this Church's finances, there is no valid scriptural reason for maintaining such status quo, and

Petition to Dissolve the Corporate Charter and
Revoke Section 501 (c)(3) Status

WHEREAS, it is necessary to carry forward these actions timely, to reclaim and restore or establish this Church to a pristine status under the sole Sovereign authority of Jesus Christ, and the Holy Bible as the inspired perfect written representation of that Authority and to preach and teach the whole counsel of God's Word.

NOW THEREFORE, I strongly urge (DEMAND) the Board to dissolve the corporate existence of this Church by written resolution and revoke the Section 501 (c)(3) status attached thereto; with proper Notices to appropriate officials to follow.

Printed Name

Signature

Dated: _____

THE 501(c)(3) INCORPORATED CHURCH© “DOWN AND DIRTY”

Barbara Ketay (Permission was granted by Barbara Ketay to post this report in this document)

O.K. Pastors, Evangelists, Missionaries, Deacons, Trustees, Elders...listen up! Let's stop all the hocus-pocus, the illusions, the scams, the fairy-tales and the out right lies regarding what the 501(c)(3) incorporated church is and is not. For a change, let's deal with facts. For those of you who don't understand "facts", in the legal arena facts are used and are supported by documented evidence which would be admissible in a legitimate court of law. Facts are not hyperbole!

THE DOWN side of the 501(c)(3) corporation:

- *The creator of a **corporation** is the State;
- *The State is the sole authority and sovereign head over the **corporation**;
- *The **corporation** is subject to the laws of the State which limits its powers;
- *The **corporation** has no constitutionally protected rights, except 14th Amendment;
- *The **corporation** is an artificial person;
- *The **corporation** submits to a State Charter declaring it is a creature of the State;
- *The **corporation** is created for the benefit of the public;
- *The **corporation** is a State franchise;
- *The **corporation** is a privilege granted by the State.

The above statements are facts. They were not invented by this author in order to make a point. They were established by case law, precedents, if you will. They are still good law, never having been overturned, therefore, they are still in full force and effect. In the landmark case **Hale vs. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 at 74 (1906)**, the U.S. Supreme Court stated the following regarding corporations:

“Upon the other hand, the corporation is a creature of the State. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises, and holds them subject to the laws of the State and the limitations of its charter. Its powers are limited by law. It can make no contract not authorized by its charter. Its rights to act as a corporation are only preserved to it so long as it obeys the laws of its creation.”

Continuing with **Hale vs. Henkel, 201 U.S. 74-75 (1906)** the Court also stated regarding corporations:

“There is a clear distinction in this particular between an individual and a corporation and that the latter has no right to refuse to submit its books and papers for examination at the suit of the State. While an individual may lawfully refuse to answer incriminating questions unless protected by an immunity statute,

(1)

it does not follow that a corporation vested with certain privileges and franchises may refuse to show its hand when charged with an abuse of such privileges.”

Do you get it? Can you see the trap? A 501(c)(3) corporation, being an artificial person, is not considered a person under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution (religious liberty clause) or under the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution (protection against self-incrimination clause). Therefore, an incorporated church has NO First or Fifth Amendment rights.

In the case **Johnson vs. Goodyear, 127 Cal.4 (1899):**

“A corporation, being an artificial person, only has rights within the meaning of the due process and equal protection clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and similar provisions of State constitutions and within the meaning of state statutes.”

THE DIRTY side of a 501(c)(3) corporation:

- *The **501(c)(3)corporation** may exist in perpetuity;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** is required by law to serve the State;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** must support the government agenda, i.e. all public policy;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** is not really tax exempt, since it pays Social Security taxes;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** allegedly has limited liability protection;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** is just considered another business;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** can hold real estate;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** can sue and be sued;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** members do not own any of the assets;
 - *The **501(c)(3)corporation** is governed by a Board of Directors;
 - *To dissolve the **501(c)(3)corporation**, you must first give all your assets (if you have any) to another **501(c)(3)corporation**. If you do not do this the State will take your assets and distribute them for you to other tax-exempt **501(c)(3)corporations**.
- You know the statement, “...there is nothing new under the sun.” Well this is certainly true about corporations. The corporation as we know it today was perfected by the Romans around 250 B.C. It had all the legal attributes that we know today. These are called legal maximums, which all originated with Rome, and survive to this day as governing legal dictum. Remember the Romans were pagans and they controlled every facet of their society, including its legal system.
- As I am sure you will remember, in the Roman Empire, there were no individual or personal liberties. The only benefits and privileges you received were State-sanctioned. The great and mighty supreme authority over everything was the State. In all matters, nothing in Rome, and/or their provinces, could be done without the State’s license and permission. Incorporation became mandatory by 6 A.D. for all “spontaneous collectivities of persons.”

(2)

Rome literally had hundreds of deities which they permitted to be worshipped. The key word here is “permitted”. The Lord’s church was not only persecuted because of who they worshipped, they were persecuted because of their refusal to seek permission from the State by becoming incorporated. The Lord’s church was considered illicit because of this refusal. Sounds like what we have today, does it not?

THE DOWN AND DIRTY SIDE OF THE 501(c)(3) INCORPORATED CHURCH

This section is specifically for the Pastors who masquerade their 501(c)(3) corporations as a church; who continually allow the church to be demeaned as an underling, a subordinate, and a dependent of the State. It’s for Pastors who have bowed and crawled to Caesar to seek the almighty mythical tax-exemption. It’s for Pastors who choose Caesar’s favor over obedience to God. And, sadly it’s for Pastors who should be able to grasp this simple Biblical doctrine, or, are just plain stupid, or, worse yet, they think they are smarter than the Lord... this section is for you!

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** has no 1st Amendment or 5th Amendment rights as provided by the United States Constitution because your corporation is an artificial person; the corporation only has due process and equal protection under the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution and similar provisions of State constitutions and within the meaning of state statutes;

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** must adhere to all public policy which restricts the Pastor to preaching politically correct sermons which do not assault anyone’s dignity or self-esteem. This means no speaking out about the character of political candidates, abortion, sodomy, homosexuals, the government, the war, sin, and no propaganda which equals the spreading of doctrine, which would definitely include the gospel. Oh! I know you’re saying “nobody tells me what to preach”. Really! Then obviously you are not aware that in 1954 Lyndon Baines Johnson, a real unethical low-life, was sick and tired of pastors and congregations wielding influence over voters. He declared it was time that the most powerful public forum, the church, be silenced. He proposed that in exchange for “tax-exempt status” the church would have to agree to keep silent on matters which the government considered verboten. If they did not co-operate there would be no tax amnesty for the transgressors. The rest is history.

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** is just another business under Federal and State Statutes and regulations and to the IRS. You have placed the Lord’s church in the same category with immoral, wicked and despicable groups and organizations, i.e., Planned Parenthood, atheists, witches, Satanists, pornographers, pedophiles, and hundreds of other organizations all seeking legitimacy from a 501(c)(3) corporation as a way to raise tax-deductible money for their perverted activities;

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** properties are held in trust for the public and such public purposes;

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** powers and activities are defined and limited by Federal Statutes and by the regulations of the Internal Revenue Service

(3)

Commissioner, and are in total submission to the State and Federal authorities;

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** is considered to be perpetual, and cannot be dissolved or unincorporated unless and until all assets are merged into another “tax-exempt 501(c)(3) corporation or trust”;

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** has agreed to allow the Internal Revenue Service to

be the final arbitrator and authority of what is and is not a church; to certify religion and to state who we can worship, what we can or cannot believe, and who we can give our tithes to. It's a sad commentary about the church of our day when the church feels compelled to go to sinners to seek legitimacy;

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** can now sue and be sued. Incorporation does little or nothing in the way of actually protecting the church. The legal truth is that a church cannot be sued and brought into court *until* it incorporates, because then it becomes a legal entity. Prior to incorporation, a church “is not an entity recognized in law.” If the court cannot legally recognize it, it cannot be sued. A church is not subject to the jurisdiction of any court. However, when a church incorporates it most certainly may be sued. Incorporation becomes *the* nexus of government jurisdiction over the incorporated church. Until the church incorporated it was completely outside the purview and jurisdiction of the civil government. This is a critical legal truth that seldom, if ever, will be discussed with you by your attorney.

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** establishes with the government an “unholy alliance”. The church and the corporation are each distinct, separate and mutually exclusive entities. “...Therefore when the church incorporates the church does not merge with the corporation.” **Sierraville Community Church vs. McKenzie**, 240 P.2nd 663 (1952). A symbiotic relationship is established wherein an incorporated church promotes the work of the church through the corporation, and the public interest, the work of the corporation and the State, are promoted through the incorporated church. This “unholy alliance” has resulted in the incorporated church being assimilated into promoting the philosophies and vain deceits after the traditions of man and the world, as enforced by civil government.

*The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** has said that God is not sovereign over His Church. He has no place of honor. The church is the institution ordained and established by Jesus Christ Himself, and, Christ has never delegated His authority to the any civil jurisdiction to rule in the affairs of His church. The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** publicly declares that Jesus Christ is no longer competent to govern, protect and provide for His own church;

The Lord's church has allowed itself to be emasculated by the United States government's so called favors and privileges. It is too apathetic, intimidated and fearful to understand it was already guaranteed, by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, all rights, freedoms, liberties and protections. The courts have always recognized, “...a church is not an entity recognized in law”, therefore they have no jurisdiction over the church. How is it then that the Lord's church can't get this...they

(4)

automatically commit spiritual suicide by voluntarily giving jurisdiction to the State by incorporating.

How is it that the Lord's church cannot grasp that the First Amendment was an act of God's wisdom and providence in order to safeguard His church and maintain its independence from the State? The First Amendment is the highest form of real protection ever known in the history of the church:

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.”

Where are the fearless Pastors who delivered potent “fire and brimstone” sermons against sin in all its forms? Where are the Pastors who spoke valiantly, without fear of retribution, against a corrupt government, sin, society's condition, voter issues, and any and all forms of injustice? Where are the Pastors who care more about honoring God than being popular? Most Pastors today would rather sell their souls, enjoy sin for a season, and not worry about the coming Judgment Day, than ruffle any government feathers and thereby risk losing their “precious and sacred” **501(c)(3) incorporated** status. “Let him that thinketh he standeth take heed lest he fall.” I Corinthians 10:12: and, “the fear of man bringeth a snare.” Proverbs 29:25.

Have any of you Pastors bothered to think about what impact your “running scared and spirit of fear attitude” has on the world? What kind of testimony is it to the unbeliever when the church incorporates out of a spirit of fear? Sadly, the issue of our testimony is seldom ever considered when making this monumental decision. But you can be sure of one thing, it is something that many others will think about when presented with the gospel. How about this scenario, “O.K. the Pastor just said that Jesus not only saves my soul, but He will be my Savior in every area of my life. He's my Provider, my Protector, He's my Everything. Well, if He's such a great Protector, why then did this church have to go to the State for protection through incorporation? Obviously the church must not think very much of Jesus' ability to protect them. No, I don't think I'm interested in the salvation this Jesus offers.”

American's have always looked to the Bible and to the Pastors for moral direction. The world has long recognized the church in America as the most common and most influential institution in American history. It is for sure that our Founding Fathers, who fought to establish this Country, held the uncompromising knowledge that the church was not subservient or subordinate to any king, parliament, president or any other civil government body.

(5)

“A more certain way to attack religion is by *favor*, by the comforts of life, by the hope of wealth; not by what reminds one of it, but by what makes one forget it: not by what makes one indignant, but by what makes men *lukewarm*, when other passions act on our souls, and those which religion inspires are *silent*. In the matter of changing religion, **State favors** are stronger than penalties.”

The Spirit of the Laws, Baron de Montesquieu (1748)

Well, were truer words ever spoken...the acceptance of State favors have had disastrous consequences on the churches in America. The church is effectively silenced, she is gagged, and has become ineffective. Should you doubt, just take a look at the spiritual and moral bankruptcy of our beloved America. I believe the Pastors will be held responsible and accountable to God for allowing His church to become nothing more than “an arm of Rome”, and for fearing to take an undaunted stand for the fullness of truth.

God will not let spiritual treason go unpunished. It is not the way that “seems right” but the way that “is right” and in full harmony with God’s word. Compliance is compromise. The **501(c)(3) incorporated church** has allowed an “unholy alliance” to be established between the Lord’s church and the State. “Ye cannot drink the Lord’s cup and the cup of devils,” I Corinthians 10:21. Satan, who “blinds the mind” and “transforms himself into an angel of light...,” has sucked the preachers into his trap of incorporation.

In conclusion, God has honored His church above all others with great power and authority. Now we must come out and be ye separate, and above all honor Him with all our love, our praise and our absolute obedience, for “...to obey is better than sacrifice.” I Samuel 15:22. We must offer our lives as a living sacrifice to Him. We must deny and revoke the “incorporated church” and return and restore the Lord’s church to its rightful owner and Sovereign Head, the Lord Jesus Christ. “...let God be true and every man a liar.” Romans 3:4. “...and ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free.” John 8:32.

If you are interested in more information, please contact Barbara Ketay of the Biblical Law Center, bketa@yahoo.com. (321)253-2374.

Copyright: 2004 by Barbara Ketay, Melbourne, Florida 32904. No part of this article may be reproduced or transmitted in any form, or by any means, electronically, manually, or mechanically, including recording, copying, or by information storage or retrieval system, etc., without the express written permission of Barbara Ketay, and except as provided by the U.S. Copyright Law. Violation is a criminal offense punishable by fine and/or imprisonment. For further information write or telephone: Barbara Ketay, IN GOD WE TRUST, Ministry to the State church, P.O. Box 120744, Melbourne, Florida 32912-0744, (321)253-2374, Cell (321-216-1006).

(6)