

## End Time Current Events: 11-14-10

Dr. Johnson's **Main Website** at: <http://contendingfortruth.com/>

**1st Alternate Site**--Dr. Johnson's Audio & PDF Archives at:

<http://currenteventsandbiblestudy.blogspot.com/>

**New: 2<sup>nd</sup> Alternative Site**—Dr. Johnson's Audio & PDF Archives at:

<http://www.cftresources.com/>

or if you want to access a specific teaching just go up to **Youtube** and enter in the 'subject' and 'Scott Johnson' and you should be able to find it easily.

**Christian Forum For My Listeners Go**

to: <http://endtimesandcurrentevents.freemfhosting.com/index.php>

**Contact Information:** Email or to **Subscribe to the Free Email Newsletter list**

(There is a Christian and Health oriented newsletter, so please indicate which one/ones you would like to be added onto) : [drjohnson@ix.netcom.com](mailto:drjohnson@ix.netcom.com)

**Free Gift:** [True Salvation and The True Gospel Good News](#)

**Correspondence/Donation/Mailing Address:** Scott Johnson 450 Conover Blvd. West #202 Conover, NC 28613

---

### Table of Contents:

- **Mystery Missile launch off Los Angeles**
- **We Are At War! Internally And Externally**
- **California Missile Launch Insider Reports**
- **Flashback Alert on China Sub: The uninvited guest: Chinese sub pops up in middle of U.S. Navy exercise, leaving military chiefs red-faced**
- **Wayne Madsen: China Fired Missile Seen In Southern California**
- **TSA Gives Rapists And Illegals The Green Light While Groping Children**
- **TSA Forces Mother in Wheelchair to Tears with “Enhanced Patdown”**
- **Flight Attendants Outraged Over Intrusive Patdowns**
- **Meg McLain Singled out by the TSA, Cuffed to a Chair, Her Ticket Ripped up**
- **The TSA: America’s Real Child Pornography/Molestation Machine**
- **TSA Rejects Airport Molestation Complaints**
- **Pilots Association urges airline pilots to opt out of TSA naked body scanners**
- **TSA security officers flunk physics**
- **TSA Encounter at San Diego International Airport**
- **DHS chief tells pilot, tourism reps scans and patdowns will continue**

**Ephesians 5:11, 13-16:** "And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, **but rather reprove them. But all things that are reprovved are made manifest by the light:** for whatsoever doth make manifest is light. Wherefore he saith, **Awake thou that sleepest,** and arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee light. **See then that ye walk circumspectly,** not as fools, but as wise, Redeeming the time, because the days are evil."

The **1828 Noah Webster Dictionary** defines:

**Reprove:** To blame, to convince of a fault, or to make it manifest, to excite a sense of guilt.

**Circumspectly:** Cautiously; with watchfulness every way; with attention to guard against surprise

or danger.

**Matthew 24:24:** "...if it were possible, they shall deceive **the very elect.**"

**II Corinthians 2:11:** "Lest Satan should get an advantage of us: for we **are not** ignorant of his devices."

**II Chronicles 7:14:** "If **my people**, which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land."

**I John 4:18:** "There is **no fear** in love; but **perfect love** casteth out fear: because fear hath torment. He that feareth is not made perfect in love."

**Heb 13:6:** "So that we may boldly say, The Lord *is* my helper, and I **will not fear** what man shall do unto me."

**II Timothy 1:7:** "For God **hath not** given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind."

**Mat 24:3 ¶** And as he sat upon the mount of Olives, the disciples came unto him privately, saying, Tell us, when shall these things be? and what shall be the sign of thy coming, and of **the end of the world?** **Mat 24:4** And Jesus answered and said unto them, Take heed that no man deceive you. **Mat 24:5** For many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many. **Mat 24:6** **And ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars: see that ye be not troubled: for all [these things] must come to pass, but the end is not yet.** **Mat 24:7** **For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom: and there shall be famines, and pestilences, and earthquakes, in divers places.** **Mat 24:8** All these are the beginning of sorrows.

---

## Mystery Missile launch off Los Angeles

*By Doug Hagmann* Wednesday, November 10, 2010



A person of normal sensibilities would have to be intellectually numb, overly medicated or otherwise too preoccupied with keeping food on the table and the proverbial wolves from their door not to realize that there is something very, very wrong going on today. By now, most Americans have heard about the sighting of what appears to be a missile, and a big one at that, apparently

being launched from a location about 35 miles off the coast of Los Angeles near sunset last evening. Video of the incident was taken from a KCBS news helicopter flying over the mainland at the time.

The manner in which this story unfolded should be a story by itself. The corporate media, quick to comment on the cultural dancing rituals of U.S. royalty, were quite slow on the uptake on an issue that has serious national security implications in light of our government's response to this incident.

Today, Americans were treated with a number of explanations from our government officials, military pundits, and even media personalities about the incident. Those explanations range from the "embarrassing if true" to the "downright insulting," with numerous variations in between. Ranging from having no information on the incident, to assurances that an investigation is underway, and my personal favorite, it is the contrail from an airplane that caused an optical illusion due to a problem of visual perspective,

we are either in a boatload of trouble with regard to our national defense, or the arrogance of the power elite is at an all time high.

As news of the event began to appear in the media, I contacted several well placed and trusted intelligence and military sources in an effort to determine the truth about the incident. These sources, as I was reminded by one during our conversation, are risking their careers to talk to me. And they talk to me not because of my charm or influence, both of which I admittedly lack, but because they care about the current status and future of the US. I was less than reassured from what I learned today.

“There was an air of deep concern among the officials”

According to one source within the Pentagon, many of the top brass were in unscheduled, high level meetings throughout the day. Although he was not privy to the information imparted during these meetings, he told me that “there was an air of deep concern among the officials,” and all participants had a DAWT policy (don’t ask because I won’t tell) policy. ‘Surreal’ was just one of the adjectives amply used by my Pentagon source.

At the very least, according to this source, it was a missile. As to whether it was ours or theirs, he does not know. But he said “they do,” a reference to the brass. The rumors circulating “within the [Pentagon] rings” suggest that it was a deliberate launch of a missile from “Chinese property at sea” intended as a warning to the U.S. Although it was odd that he would not clarify the nature of the “Chinese property,” I can only assume that it did not originate from Catalina Island or U.S. military ships in the area, as we still own those, at least to my knowledge.

A domestic intelligence source speaking to me off the record acknowledged the event by his assurances that it was indeed “some type of large missile” and not an illusion or model rocket. **This, according to information from his superiors, who are deeply rooted inside the beltway. He provided a lot of technical speak that amounted simply to this: the air traffic controllers were taken by surprise and clueless, there were no prior warnings of any scheduled military tests issued, and no one from the military has confided to his agency that “the missile” was ours and launched by mistake. In other words, it was not ours and it was no mistake.**

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/29770>

---

### **We Are At War! Internally And Externally**

November 10, 2010--By Steve Quayle--Back ground : All missiles leave fingerprints as to type, speed fuels used, and Telemetry signals or Arming codes and Points of Launch . For NORAD to make the Claim that "they know nothing" is a Sargent Schultz moment Par Excellent-- We have satellites and scanners that can read every inch of a missile in flight...The U.S.militaries, remote sensing capabilities are the Best in the world so the "Gee we don't know whats going on or what happened" is like Aaron in the Old Testament saying that he didn't understand where the Golden Calf came from even though he was the one that- "FASHIONED IT"

---

### **California Missile Launch Insider Reports**

Let's assume that everything we have read/heard about the supposed missile launch of the California coast is factual (no NOTAMs filed, not a military launch, no FAA or NORAD track). We are then left to deduce what it could have been. So called experts

have written it off as a contrail, implying that an aircraft was responsible (note that missiles leave contrails too); but I disagree as the FAA would have tracked the aircraft (via a transponder: mode-A, S or C or ADS-B). Also, as a former China Lake (Naval Air Warfare Center) scientist I have seen missile launches and the news video I saw sure looked like a missile launch. So what could it have been?

I suspect it was the Chinese. Why? They have SLBMs (Submarine Launched Ballistic Missiles) and the subs to launch them. **They also claim to have a stealth supersonic anti-ship missile. We can't forget that this happened while President Obama was visiting India (a long time foe of China) in an effort to strengthen our ties to them (India). Developing a stealth ballistic missile would be major game-changer in the strategic weapons race and launching one off our coast would send a clear message: "Do not mess with China."** RM [more](#)

-----  
**November 11, 2010**

**FC2- ANDREW/ RET**

Hello Steve, Finally there is something that has occurred, in which I am actually an expert and qualified to give a real answer about. I am a retired U.S. Navy Fire Control Technician, who is platform certified in the gun and missile systems on board Adams class guided missile destroyers, I have also worked with the Navy's Harpoon, Tomahawk and ASROC missile systems. (FireControl Techs operate, maintain and repair the computer, radar and peripheral systems used to launch and guide the various naval weapon systems, we are the guys who "PUSH THE BUTTON")

Anyway, what I saw in the recent video concerning the object 30 miles off the coast of CA. Is blatantly a foreign made, Large Cruise or ICBM missile, being launched by a sub-surface aquatic platform. First I know its a large missile because it did not exhibit the typical "corkscrewing" trajectory of a beam riding missile as it tries to acquire the targeting beam. This tells me its a Big Boy with a complete guidance system installed in it, what is nicknamed a "fire and forget" missile, as once its launched its internal guidance system takes over and there is no real need for external guidance.

Secondly, I'm fairly confident its not one of ours, as the vapor trail appears "dirty" it looks brownish. I have personally been involved in (5) SM2 missile launches, and (2) ASROC missile launches, and have been on safety observation for at least 15 more launches of Harpoons, Tomahawks and other missiles. We put alot of sweat and money into our "birds" and part of that is the fuel cells, they burn very clean, a whitish-blue infact, not a dirty blackish brown. That missile had rather crude fuel cells, which tells me its not one of ours.

I bet the brass in Washington is freaked out big time, because of what I know of our "defenses" they should really have had a pretty good idea this thing was sitting there, and they should have been watching it the moment it broke the surface of the water and ignited our early warning dopplar which would relay the info to NORAD, and the CAP units flying patrol over the country...

Any high ranking expert who believes this is a condensation trail off of a commercial

airliner is lying or stupid. I hope you hear from other Fire Control Techs who saw the same thing I did!

I forgot something, as any Firecontrol Tech or Gunnersmate will verify, the protocols to launch a missile are so complex, there is no way this was an "accidental" launch. I do not want to share too much info, but there is no "one red button" to launch a missile, thats all hollywood garbage. Yes two keys are turned to arm the system, but it takes at least three other things to occur in proper sequence to launch a bird, so thats at least 5 people all doing something at the right time. Its impossible to accidentally launch a missile! **FC2- ANDREW/ RET** [more](#)

---

### **Flashback Alert on China Sub: The uninvited guest: Chinese sub pops up in middle of U.S. Navy exercise, leaving military chiefs red-faced**

By MATTHEW HICKLEY--**10 November 2007**

When the U.S. Navy deploys a battle fleet on exercises, it takes the security of its aircraft carriers very seriously indeed.

At least a dozen warships provide a physical guard while the technical wizardry of the world's only military superpower offers an invisible shield to detect and deter any intruders. That is the theory. Or, rather, was the theory.



*Uninvited guest: A Chinese Song Class submarine, like the one that surfaced by the U.S.S. Kitty Hawk*

American military chiefs have been left dumbstruck by an undetected Chinese submarine popping up at the heart of a recent Pacific exercise close to the U.S.S. Kitty Hawk - a 1,000ft supercarrier with 4,500 personnel on board.

By the time it surfaced the 160ft Song Class diesel-electric attack submarine is understood to have sailed within viable range for launching torpedoes or missiles at the carrier.

According to senior Nato officials the incident caused consternation in the **U.S. Navy. The Americans had no idea China's fast-growing submarine fleet had reached such a level of sophistication, or that it posed such a threat.**

One Nato figure said the effect was "as big a shock as the Russians launching Sputnik" - a reference to the Soviet Union's first orbiting satellite in 1957 which marked the start of the space age.

The incident, which took place in the ocean between southern Japan and Taiwan, is a major embarrassment for the Pentagon.

---

### **Wayne Madsen: China Fired Missile Seen In Southern California**

**Wayne Madsen Report**--November 10, 2010

#### **Pentagon and its embedded media covering up Chinese show of force off LA**

**China flexed its military muscle Monday evening in the skies west of Los Angeles when a Chinese Navy Jin class ballistic missile nuclear submarine, deployed secretly from its underground home base on the south coast of Hainan island, launched an intercontinental ballistic missile from international waters off the southern California coast. WMR's intelligence sources in Asia, including Japan, say the belief by the military commands in Asia and the intelligence services is that the Chinese decided to demonstrate to the United States its capabilities on the eve of the G-20 Summit in Seoul and the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation summit in Tokyo, where President Obama is scheduled to attend during his ten-day trip to Asia**

The reported Chinese missile test off Los Angeles came as a double blow to Obama. The day after the missile firing, China's leading credit rating agency, Dagong Global Credit Rating, downgraded sovereign debt rating of the United States to A-plus from AA. **The missile demonstration coupled with the downgrading of the United States financial grade represents a military and financial show of force by Beijing to Washington.**

The Pentagon spin machine, backed by the media reporters who regularly cover the Defense Department, as well as officials of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD), and the U.S. Northern Command, is now spinning various conspiracy theories, including describing the missile plume videotaped by KCBS news helicopter cameraman Gil Leyvas at around 5:00 pm Pacific Standard Time, during the height of evening rush hour, as the condensation trail from a jet aircraft. Other Pentagon-inspired cover stories are that the missile was actually an amateur rocket or an optical illusion.



*Experts agree that this was a ballistic missile being fired off of Los Angeles. Pentagon insists it was a jet aircraft or model rocket.*

There are no records of a plane in the area having taken off from Los Angeles International Airport or from other airports in the region. The Navy and Air Force have said that they were not conducting any missile tests from submarines, ships, or Vandenberg Air Force Base. The Navy has also ruled out an accidental firing from one of its own submarines.

**Missile experts, including those from Jane's in London, say the plume was definitely from a missile, possibly launched from a submarine. WMR has learned that the missile was likely a JL-2 ICBM, which has a range of 7,000 miles, and was fired in a northwesterly direction over the Pacific and away from U.S. territory from a Jin class submarine.** The Jin class can carry up to twelve such missiles. Navy sources have revealed that the missile may have impacted on Chinese territory and that the National Security Agency (NSA) likely possesses intercepts of Chinese telemetry signals during the missile firing and subsequent testing operations.



*Japanese and other Asian intelligence agencies believe that a Chinese Jin-class SSBN submarine conducted missile "show of force" in skies west of Los Angeles.*

Asian intelligence sources believe the submarine transited from its base on Hainan through South Pacific waters, where U.S. anti-submarine warfare detection capabilities are not as effective as they are in the northern and mid-Pacific, and then transited north to waters off of Los Angeles. The Pentagon, which has spent billions on ballistic missile

defense systems, a pet project of former Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, is clearly embarrassed over the Chinese show of strength.

## **^TSA Gives Rapists And Illegals The Green Light While Gropping Children**

Paul Joseph Watson--Infowars.com--November 10, 2010



**While the TSA hires rapists to grope and fondle little girls, women, and the physically disabled in the name of security, it gives the green light to illegal aliens to fly planes and work at US airports,** emphasizing once again that invasive “pat down” procedures have nothing to do with terrorism and everything to do with treating the American people with less respect than farmyard animals.

As USA Today reported, despite the TSA’s outright lie that the new measures do not constitute groping or even squeezing, “The searches require screeners to touch passengers’ breasts and genitals.”

And just to make you more comfortable with the **idea of perverts touching up your wife or daughter**, it now emerges that the **TSA’s background check for their own employees is somewhat less stringent than the harassment travelers are faced with every time they go through airport security.**

As AirSafe News reports, “The current system of background checks may have allowed those convicted of rape and other sexually based offenses to join TSA.” Indeed, back in March it emerged that TSA worker Sean Shanahan, who was employed at Boston Logan International Airport to pat down passengers, had been charged with multiple child sex crimes targeting an underage girl.

**Given the fact that the TSA’s new policy allows workers to fondle breasts and genitals, expect a flood of sex perverts and rapists to eagerly sign up.**

But it gets worse – not only is the TSA employing pedophiles to grope your kids, the agency is giving the green light to illegal aliens to work in airport cargo security and also to fly planes.

The same background check that allowed rapists to slip through the net **also enabled illegal immigrants from Central America and Mexico to work in security** at Stewart International Airport, a 2,400-acre facility located about 60 miles north of New York City.

Noting that the fiasco was “par for the course for the TSA,” [Judicial Watch reported](#) that “The illegal aliens all had security badges approved by the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), the agency created after the 2001 terrorist attacks mainly to protect airlines. The TSA’s national background check failed to detect the fake Social Security numbers and other bogus documents provided by the illegal immigrants to obtain clearance.”

**Just this week [Judicial Watch also reported](#) on how the TSA approved flight training for illegal aliens.**

**“At a flight school in Stow, a rural community about 25 miles west of Boston, more than 30 illegal aliens were cleared by the TSA to train as pilots. This week three of them said they came to the U.S. from Brazil legally but their visas expired. Each man provided official TSA documents approving pilot lessons through the agency’s alien flight student program. The Brazilians assure the agency never asked them about their immigration status.”**

The TSA’s claim that it is sexually molesting Americans in the name of security is proven completely fraudulent given the fact that the agency concurrently opens the door for illegal aliens to access sensitive areas of airports while training them how to fly airliners.

As a result of its newly introduced policies that are tantamount to sexual molestation, the TSA has a public relations nightmare on its hands, with [multiple prominent travel and pilot associations leading the backlash](#) against invasive “pat down” measures as well as dangerous radiation body scanners.

[Two more bodies came out just today](#) to join forces with the growing resistance against airport oppression, with the Association of Flight Attendants and US Airline Pilots Association now becoming part of the boycott against naked body scanners.

The TSA faces insurmountable opposition and must immediately reconsider and drastically scale back its draconian checkpoint measures.

<http://www.infowars.com/tsa-gives-rapists-and-illegals-the-green-light-while-groping-children/>

---

[TSA Forces Mother in Wheelchair to Tears with “Enhanced Patdown”](#)

---

[Flight Attendants Outraged Over Intrusive Patdowns](#)

---

[Meg McLain Singled out by the TSA, Cuffed to a Chair, Her Ticket Ripped up](#)

---

[TSA Lies Again: No Fondling, Groping Or Squeezing Is Taking Place At Airports](#)

---

[The TSA: America’s Real Child Pornography/Molestation Machine](#)

by [William L. Anderson](#) *Recently by William L. Anderson: [A Tale of Brutality, Theft, and Judicial Misconduct](#)*

Earlier this year, I [took on the authorities](#) who put Tonya Craft on trial in North Georgia for allegedly molesting three children, including her own daughter. Thanks to Ms. Craft’s tenacity for the truth and a good legal team, the jury acquitted her of all 22 counts after a month-long trial in which prosecution witnesses clearly committed perjury

and one of the prosecutors, Christopher Arnt, openly lied to jurors in closing arguments. (The jurors themselves were the ones making that last statement, not me.)

Ms. Craft was one of thousands of Americans who each year are charged and tried for what supposedly is an epidemic of child abuse/molestation, and it also is clear that the charges often are false, brought by ex-spouses in custody proceedings (in order to gain leverage) or by vengeful neighbors and others. In the Craft case, it was both.

This set of judicial travesties came, not surprisingly, after the passage of federal legislation, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act of 1974, also called the Mondale Act after the law's sponsor, Walter Mondale. (Perhaps it is ironic that Mondale, who is a self-proclaimed "social justice" advocate, is more responsible for wrongful convictions and destruction of individuals and families than any other American in the nation's history, as literally thousands of people have gone to prison even though it is clear the charges against them were false, fed by the hysteria that Mondale helped to create.)

The authorities want us to believe that there are abusers and molesters lurking behind every tree and that they are operating everywhere. On my blog, I have written about a number of cases in which authorities at government schools and elsewhere, along with an army of "child protective" workers employed by government, have interrogated children until they "disclosed" abuse, even when it was obvious that no abuse had occurred. (Schools especially are hot to push children to "disclose" what authorities call "bad touches" even if that touch might be a pat on the back. I'm not kidding.)

Moreover, authorities are hot to prosecute individuals for possession of "child pornography," and even parents who innocently took pictures of their young children in the bathtub have been prosecuted as "child pornographers." Even to glance at a picture of a nude child in America today is a crime and can land an unsuspecting person in prison.

**One would think that federal and state authorities, then, would be highly interested to know that each day, individuals wearing costumes engage in both child pornography *and* "bad touches," and do it in full view of others. Where does this happen? At U.S. airports, [which feature the infamous backscatter "imaging" machines, along with full "pat-downs" for people who decline to be subjected to a virtual strip search.](#)**

**While the government has released the fuzzy photos of actual people who have been scanned by these machines, in reality the pictures are extremely clear. Furthermore, the people wearing Transportation Security Administration costumes each day examine both full frontal and rear pictures of the nude bodies of adults and children, *even though it is against the law for anyone to look at a picture of a nude child on a computer screen, something that has landed many people in prison for long terms.***

**I am not joking. Moreover, people who decline to be photographed are then [subjected to the "pat down" searches](#) in which TSA employees rub their hands upon the genitals and breasts of women (on the outside of their clothing). **What one needs to understand is that if one does that to another person in another setting, one can go to prison for sexual assault. In fact, one does not need even to touch *any* of those areas to be charged with child molestation.****

Let me give an example. In Catoosa County (where Tonya Craft's trial took place), Georgia, James Combs, a substitute teacher, is charged with child molestation because he patted some children on the back during class. (I have read the police reports in this case, along with other documents, and can tell readers confidently that Mr. Combs did not molest anyone, but in the aftermath of the Craft debacle, the Catoosa County authorities are desperate to get back their credibility and hope a jury will convict Mr. Combs.)

Nowhere in those police reports has there been a credible description of Mr. Combs doing what TSA workers do every day at airports. In other words, these workers have a free pass to do what would land anyone else into prison.

But there is more. Readers might object to my contentions, claiming, "They are just doing their jobs!" That, of course, is nonsense, but it is worse than nonsense; it is *dangerous* nonsense.

Why do I say that? We already know that certain jobs will involve self-selection of people with certain traits and viewpoints. In my own profession, academic economics, the field of labor economics often attracts activist women on the Left because of "discrimination" issues in the area of race and sex in the workplace. Furthermore, because leftist women so dominate the field, most males in economics doctoral programs avoid the labor field altogether, as federal hiring laws make it difficult for them to gain academic jobs when women apply for the same position.

**Given the realities of occupational self-selection, one almost can be sure that the prospect of looking at photographs of nude children and engaging in the act of "feeling up" adults and children will attract sexual perverts to TSA employment.**

**Does that mean everyone in the TSA is a sex pervert? Obviously, not.**

**However, because the jobs really do involve being able to examine what clearly are pornographic images and the placing of one's hands on the genitals and breasts of adults and children, there is no doubt that the job description alone is going to attract sexually perverted people. After all, TSA employment permits workers to do something "under color of law" that would land one in prison elsewhere.**

Furthermore, because they are protected by law, TSA workers are able to push the bounds of decency beyond limits that ordinary people can imagine. For example, Lew Rockwell recently [published this post](#) on his blog:

A great man had to go through his first invasive pat-down at the airport the other day, since his knee replacements bar him from the naked x-ray machine. This is a the kindest, most well-mannered man I know, but after four very hard jabs to his genitals, **he asked the federal agent: "How can you live with yourself, feeling up men all day?" "I love my job," sneered the goon.**

**Lest someone think I am being unfair, if James Combs or anyone else charged with child molestation in the line of work had made such a statement ("I love my job"), prosecutors would use that statement against him in court, as they would claim that it was a self-admission of guilt.**



**Don't kid yourselves. The prospect of getting one's sexual jollies on the job is so great that I can guarantee you that TSA employment is attracting real sex perverts, people who both can "love their job" while engaging in sexual assault. A lot of people today are in prison for doing much less than what these people do every day of the year.**

*November 5, 2010--William L. Anderson, Ph.D. [[send him mail](#)], teaches economics at Frostburg State University in Maryland, and is an adjunct scholar of the [Ludwig von Mises Institute](#). He also is a consultant with American Economic Services. [Visit his blog.](#) <http://www.lewrockwell.com/anderson/anderson299.html>*

---

## **TSA Rejects Airport Molestation Complaints**

Paul Joseph Watson--[Infowars.com](#)--**November 9, 2010**

The TSA has reacted to the burgeoning backlash against airport **oppression with a glib response that does nothing to address the multitude of complaints put forward by some of the most prominent travel and pilots associations in the world.**

[As the New York Times reports today](#), no less than four major travel organizations have strongly criticized the TSA in recent weeks over increasingly stifling airport security measures that do little to assure safety yet are causing many people to refuse to travel to the United States, costing the industry millions of dollars a year.

"In recent weeks, representatives from the International Air Transport Association, the U.S. Travel Association, the Allied Pilots Association and British Airways have criticized the T.S.A., saying it adds intrusive and time-consuming layers of scrutiny at airport checkpoints, without effectively addressing legitimate security concerns," states the report.

The U.S. Travel Association is warning that onerous and "absurd" security procedures, which are only getting worse with the TSA's recent move to institute outright groping of passengers that would be considered sexual assault in any other context, are discouraging people from air travel.

Giovanni Bisignani, chief executive of the International Air Transport Association, said that the notion of passengers as guilty until proven innocent was wrong.

Mr. Bisignani said during a speech last week. "...**it is not acceptable to treat passengers as terrorists until they prove themselves innocent.**"

[As we reported yesterday](#), the largest independent union of airline pilots in the world is also urging its members to boycott body imaging machines currently being rolled out in airports all over the globe, citing dangers of excessive exposure to harmful levels of radiation during the screening process. The announcement by the Allied Pilots Association came after a ExpressJet Airlines, Inc pilot Michael Roberts was [detained and suspended for refusing](#) to be scanned.

[Last month, British Airways Chairman Martin Broughton](#) called for the UK to stop mimicking "completely redundant" security measures instituted by the TSA, calling for them to be immediately scrapped.

Longer waits in security queues are causing many to scale back air travel altogether. The *Times* cites the example of Cornell Professor Dieter Ast, who refuses to submit to naked body scanners.

“I’m not taking as many trips,” Professor Dieter said. “And my European friends are avoiding the U.S. because of the hassle.”

**But it’s not just longer waiting times and enhanced groping measures that are causing the outrage, countless examples of abuse and degradation, particularly involving the elderly, the physically disabled, women and children, involving TSA thugs have prompted many potential tourists to refuse to travel to the United States.**

As a result of a seemingly deliberate policy to humiliate and demean weaker members of society, US airport security, not just TSA agents but also Homeland Security goons, have attracted an odious reputation globally as something akin to the East German Stasi who controlled border security in pre-perestroika Berlin.

The TSA has responded to the controversy by offering nothing more than a terse email statement which glibly states, **“T.S.A. is a counterterrorism agency whose mission is to ensure the safety of the traveling public. To that end, T.S.A. deploys the latest technologies and implements comprehensive procedures that protect passengers while facilitating travel.”**

It looks like it’s going to take a raft of lawsuits and compensation claims to scale back TSA tyranny and that’s the course taken by the Electronic Privacy Information Center in its bid to prove that the naked body scanners are dangerous and completely illegal. Until the TSA realizes that its job is to provide rational and sensible security screening to protect the American people and the vast majority of innocent travelers from the infinitesimal percentage of bad guys that try to board airplanes, and not to treat every single person as not just a terrorist, but in many cases lower than an animal in the levels of abuse metered out, the backlash against airport molestation will continue to build and it will end up costing the US government billions in lost tourism revenue as well as lawsuits against TSA thugs.

<http://www.infowars.com/tsa-rejects-airport-molestation-complaints/>

---

### **Pilots Association urges airline pilots to opt out of TSA naked body scanners**

Mike Adams--[NaturalNews](#) --November 9, 2020

In yet another significant blow to the TSA’s naked body scanners, the president of the Allied Pilots Association (APA) issued a letter urging all pilots to **opt out** of the naked body scanners, also known as *Advanced Imaging Technology* (AIT).



The president of the Allied Pilots Association (APA) issued a letter urging all pilots to opt out of the naked body scanners.

*additional radiation exposure and plan to recommend that our pilots refrain from going through the AIT. We already experience significantly higher radiation exposure than most other occupations, and there is mounting evidence of higher-than-average cancer rates as a consequence.”*

He goes on to call for airline pilots to be exempted from security screening.

#### **Air travelers get the same radiation**

Air travelers subjecting themselves to the TSA naked body scanners are exposed to the same radiation as pilots who are scanned by those machines, of course.

Clearly, the whole point of subjecting pilots to these demeaning pat-downs is to remind them they all live in a police state. It has nothing whatsoever to do with actual security. (Have you ever heard of a PILOT hijacking a passenger airliner in the US?)

And of course that's the whole point of subjecting air travelers to naked body scanners, too: All the sheeple have to be reminded from time to time that they are under the control of government agents. **Hence the “you're under arrest” position of the arms that travelers are told to assume when passing through the naked body scanners. This body position, with both hands held over your head, gets the public used to assuming the “I surrender” position when confronted with authority figures. It's really more of a training program to get the public indoctrinated for yet more police state tactics down the road.**

Fortunately, more and more people are now **opting out** of the naked body scans. Sure, they get felt up by TSA agents who grope their crotches, breasts and buttocks ([http://www.naturalnews.com/030100\\_n...](http://www.naturalnews.com/030100_n...)), but at least they don't get subjected to yet another dose of ionizing radiation that can contribute to skin cancer.

Don't you find it fascinating, by the way, that the U.S. government tells everybody to avoid tanning salons because they claim “UV radiation promotes skin cancer,” yet when it comes to airport security, they want to subject you to a far more harmful wavelength of radiation “for your safety” ? (X-Rays are far more harmful than ultraviolet light.)

“Backscatter AIT devices now being deployed produce ionizing radiation, which could be harmful to your health,” wrote Allied Pilots Association president Dave Bates. He then went on to add:

*“We are exposed to radiation every day on the job. For example, a typical Atlantic crossing during a solar flare can expose a pilot to radiation equivalent to 100 chest X-rays per hour. Requiring pilots to go through the AIT [naked body scanner] means additional radiation exposure. I share our pilots' concerns about this*

Privacy group Big Brother Watch has backed the APA's advice to pilots, with [director Alex Deane, noting](#) "Scanners are dangerous. There's a reason that the nurse stands behind a screen when you get an x-ray at hospital. Radiation is potentially harmful, even in small doses, and the regularity with which frequent flyers are exposed to potentially cancer-causing radiation." **I guess radiation is all okay as long as it serves the police state interests of the federal government. Sources for this story include:** <http://aviationblog.dallasnews.com/...>

### [TSA security officers flunk physics](#)

Mike Adams--[NaturalNews](#)--November 14, 2010

Since publishing [my story](#) about the TSA's naked body scanners and the "opt out" procedure, I've received a steady stream of reports from people who are traveling, all of whom are now choosing to opt out of the scanners. The reports coming in demonstrate an amazing ignorance among TSA security screeners about how the naked body scanners actually work.



One report from a very credible source (a famous health author whom I know quite well) reveals that [TSA officers told her the naked body scanners don't even emit X-rays](#). "It's a myth," the officer said.

"There are no X-rays from those machines."

Really? Then how do they work? Are they MAGIC? Do TSA officers cast a magic *Spell of X-Ray Vision* on the [air travel](#) passengers like some sort of Dungeons & Dragons adventure?

(Or maybe [the TSA](#) officers simply buy those X-Ray vision glasses advertised in the back

of comic books and use those to gawk at passengers.)

That the TSA's own employees don't even know these machines emit radiation is a real whopper. But of course it only makes sense: **They've probably been told this by the TSA because no person in their right mind would actually work 8 hours a day standing next to a machine that emits radiation every few seconds.**

Even dentists and chiropractors who use low-dose radiation to take [images](#) of your bones still flee the room or hide behind heavy metal shields before zapping you (as they should). Being exposed to ionizing radiation on a regular basis is inarguably a major [health](#) hazard.

Another TSA officer told another contact of mine that the naked [body](#) scanner machines are "incapable of storing images." This, of course, is very different from even the official TSA line which now claims the ability of the machines to [store](#) images have been

“turned off.” **All such machines are delivered by the manufacturer with image storage features built right in, as we previously reported:**

[http://www.naturalnews.com/029378\\_f...](http://www.naturalnews.com/029378_f...)

Officials must naturally defend compulsory passenger X-rays as harmless. But they are signing no guarantees because **ionizing radiation in the X-ray spectrum damages and mutates both chromosomal DNA and structural proteins in human cells.** If this damage is not repaired, it can lead to cancer. New research shows that even very low doses of X-ray **can delay or prevent cellular repair of damaged DNA, raising questions about the safety of routine medical X-rays.** Unborn babies can become grotesquely disfigured if their mothers are irradiated during pregnancy. Heavily X-rayed persons of childbearing age can sustain chromosomal damage, endangering offspring. **Radiation damage is cumulative and each successive dose builds upon the cellular mutation caused by the last. It can take years for radiation damage to manifest pathology.**

A leading U.S. expert on the biological effects of X-radiation is Dr. John Gofman, Professor Emeritus of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley. Dr. Gofman’s exhaustive research leads him to conclude that **there is NO SAFE DOSE-LEVEL of ionizing radiation. His studies indicate that radiation from medical diagnostics and treatment is a causal co-factor in 50 percent of America’s cancers and 60 percent of our ischemic (blood flow blockage) heart disease.** He stresses that the frequency with which Americans are medically X-rayed “makes for a significant radiological impact.” Dr. Gofman’s research reveals a dose-response relationship between medical X-rays and fatal heart disease, the number one killer of Americans. **He found that X-radiation is a powerful atherogen, causing mutations in smooth muscle cells of coronary arteries. These radiation damaged cells are unable to process lipoproteins correctly, resulting in atherosclerotic plaques and mini tumors in the arteries.** Radiation used to treat breast cancer can badly damage the heart

This highly credentialed nuclear physicist states: “The fact, that X-ray doses are so seldom measured, reflects the false assumption that doses do not matter...[but] they do matter enormously. And each bit of additional dose matters, **because any X-ray photon may be the one which sets in motion the high-speed, high energy electron which causes a carcinogenic or atherogenic [smooth muscle] mutation. Such mutations rarely disappear.** The higher their accumulated number in a population, the higher will be the population’s mortality rates from radiation-induced cancer and ischemic heart disease.”

A report in the British medical journal Lancet noted that **after breast mammograms were introduced in 1983, the incidence of ductal carcinoma (12 percent of breast cancer) increased by 328 percent, of which 200 percent was due to the use of mammography itself.** A Lawrence Berkeley National Lab study has demonstrated that breast tissue is extremely susceptible to radiation-induced cancer, confirming warnings by numerous experts that mammograms can initiate the very cancers they may later identify. Dr. Gofman believes that medical radiation is a co-factor in 75 percent of breast cancer cases. **So why would girls and women want their breast tissues irradiated every time they take a commercial flight?**

Cancer is number two cause of death in the U.S. behind heart disease. **The more marathon walks and cookie eating contests we sponsor to fund the “war” on cancer, the more cancer we get.** “America isn’t winning the war on cancer after all,” the Wall Street Journal recently reported. The National Cancer Institute admits that America’s cancer rates in almost every category are rising steadily. Airline pilots and cabin crews suffer a significant incidence of leukemia, skin and breast cancer due to chromosomal damage from ionizing cosmic radiation encountered during years of flying at high altitudes.

---

## **TSA Encounter at San Diego International Airport**

**Johnny Hedge**--November 14, 2010

*[These events took place roughly between 5:30 and 6:30 AM, November 13th in Terminal 2 of the San Diego International Airport. I'm writing this approximately 2 1/2 hours after the events transpired, and they are correct to the best of my recollection. I will admit to being particularly fuzzy on the exact order of events when dealing with the agents after getting my ticket refunded; however, all of the events described did occur. I had my phone recording audio and video of much of these events. It can be viewed below. Please spread this story as far and wide as possible. I will make no claims to copyright or otherwise.]*



This morning, I tried to fly out of San Diego International Airport but was refused by the TSA. I had been somewhat prepared for this eventuality. I have been reading about the millimeter wave and backscatter x-ray machines and the possible harm to health as well as the vivid pictures they create of people’s naked bodies. Not wanting to go through them, I had done my research on the TSA’s website prior to traveling to see if SAN had them. From all indications, they did not. When I arrived at the security line, I found that the TSA’s website was out of date. SAN does in fact utilize backscatter x-ray machines. I made my way through the line toward the first line of “defense”: the TSA ID checker. This agent looked over my boarding pass, looked over my ID, looked at me and then

back at my ID. After that, he waved me through. SAN is still operating metal detectors, so I walked over to one of the lines for them. After removing my shoes and making my way toward the metal detector, the person in front of me in line was pulled out to go through the backscatter machine. After asking what it was and being told, he opted out. This left the machine free, and before I could go through the metal detector, I was pulled out of line to go through the backscatter machine. When asked, I half-chuckled and said, "I don't think so." At this point, I was informed that I would be subject to a pat down, and I waited for another agent.

A male agent (it was a female who had directed me to the backscatter machine in the first place), came and waited for me to get my bags and then directed me over to the far corner of the area for screening. After setting my things on a table, he turned to me and began to explain that he was going to do a "standard" pat down. (I thought to myself, "great, not one of those gropings like I've been reading about".) After he described, the pat down, I realized that he intended to touch my groin. After he finished his description but before he started the pat down, I looked him straight in the eye and said, "if you touch my genitals, I'll have you arrested. He, a bit taken aback, informed me that he would have to involve his supervisor because of my comment.

We both stood there for no more than probably two minutes before a female TSA agent (apparently, the supervisor) arrived. She described to me that because I had opted out of the backscatter screening, I would now be patted down, and that involved running hands up the inside of my legs until they felt my groin. I stated that I would not allow myself to be subject to a molestation as a condition of getting on my flight. The supervisor informed me that it was a standard administrative security check and that they were authorized to do it. I repeated that I felt what they were doing was a sexual assault, and that if they were anyone but the government, the act would be illegal. I believe that I was then informed that if I did not submit to the inspection, I would not be getting on my flight. I again stated that I thought the search was illegal. I told her that I would be willing to submit to a walk through the metal detector as over 80% of the rest of the people were doing, but I would not be groped. The supervisor, then offered to go get her supervisor.

I took a seat in a tiny metal chair next to the table with my belongings and waited. While waiting, I asked the original agent (who was supposed to do the pat down) if he had many people opt out to which he replied, none (or almost none, I don't remember exactly). He said that I gave up a lot of rights when I bought my ticket. I replied that the government took them away after September 11th. There was silence until the next supervisor arrived. A few minutes later, the female agent/supervisor arrived with a man in a suit (not a uniform). He gave me a business card identifying him as David Silva, Transportation Security Manager, San Diego International Airport. At this point, more TSA agents as well as what I assume was a local police officer arrived on the scene and surrounded the area where I was being detained. The female supervisor explained the situation to Mr. Silva. After some quick back and forth (that I didn't understand/hear), I could overhear Mr. Silva say something to the effect of, "then escort him from the airport." I again offered to submit to the metal detector, and my father-in-law, who was near by also tried to plead for some reasonableness on the TSA's part.

The female supervisor took my ID at this point and began taking some kind of report with which I cooperated. Once she had finished, I asked if I could put my shoes back

on. I was allowed to put my shoes back on and gather my belongs. I asked, "are we done here" (it was clear at this point that I was going to be escorted out), and the local police officer said, "follow me". I followed him around the side of the screening area and back out to the ticketing area. I said apologized to him for the hassle, to which he replied that it was not a problem.

I made my way over to the American Airlines counter, explained the situation, and asked if my ticket could be refunded. The woman behind the counter furiously typed away for about 30 seconds before letting me know that she would need a supervisor. She went to the other end of the counter. When she returned, she informed me that the ticket was non-refundable, but that she was still trying to find a supervisor. After a few more minutes, she was able to refund my ticket. I told her that I had previously had a bad experience with American Airlines and had sworn never to fly with them again (I rationalized this trip since my father-in-law had paid for the ticket), but that after her helpfulness, I would once again be willing to use their carrier again. **At this point, I thought it was all over. I began to make my way to the stairs to exit the airport, when I was approached by another man in slacks and a sport coat. He was accompanied by the officer that had escorted me to the ticketing area and Mr. Silva. He informed me that I could not leave the airport. He said that once I start the screening in the secure area, I could not leave until it was completed. Having left the area, he stated, I would be subject to a civil suit and a \$10,000 fine. I asked him if he was also going to fine the 6 TSA agents and the local police officer who escorted me from the secure area. After all, I did exactly what I was told. He said that they didn't know the rules, and that he would deal with them later. They would not be subject to civil penalties. I then pointed to Mr. Silva and asked if he would be subject to any penalties. He is the agents' supervisor, and he directed them to escort me out. The man informed me that Mr. Silva was new and he would not be subject to penalties, either. He again asserted the necessity that I return to the screening area. When I asked why, he explained that I may have an incendiary device and whether or not that was true needed to be determined. I told him that I would submit to a walk through the metal detector, but that was it; I would not be groped. He told me that their procedures are on their website, and therefore, I was fully informed before I entered the airport; I had implicitly agreed to whatever screening they deemed appropriate. I told him that San Diego was not listed on the TSA's website as an airport using Advanced Imaging Technology, and I believed that I would only be subject to the metal detector. He replied that he was not a webmaster, and I asked then why he was referring me to the TSA's website if he didn't know anything about it. I again refused to re-enter the screening area. The man asked me to stay put while he walked off to confer with the officer and Mr. Silva. They went about 20 feet away and began talking amongst themselves while I waited. I couldn't over hear anything, but I got the impression that the police officer was recounting his version of the events that had transpired in the screening area (my initial refusal to be patted down). After a few minutes, I asked loudly across the distance if I was free to leave. The man dismissively held up a finger and said, "hold on". I waited. After another minute or so, he returned and asked for my name. I asked why he needed it, and reminded him that the female supervisor/agent had already taken a report. He said that he was trying to be**

friendly and help me out. I asked to what end. He reminded me that I could be sued civilly and face a \$10,000 fine and that my cooperation could help mitigate the penalties I was facing. I replied that he already had my information in the report that was taken and I asked if I was free to leave. I reminded him that he was now illegally detaining me and that I would not be subject to screening as a condition of leaving the airport. He told me that he was only trying to help (I should note that his demeanor never suggested that he was trying to help. I was clearly being interrogated.), and that no one was forcing me to stay. I asked if tried to leave if he would have the officer arrest me. He again said that no one was forcing me to stay. I looked him in the eye, and said, “then I’m leaving”. He replied, “then we’ll bring a civil suit against you”, to which I said, “you bring that suit” and walked out of the airport.

<http://www.infowars.com/tsa-encounter-at-san-diego-international-airport/>

---

### **DHS chief tells pilot, tourism reps scans and patdowns will continue**

*Janet Napolitano rebuffed industry concerns at a White House meeting today, frustrating pilot & tourism representatives worried about an economic backlash and traveler revolt*



**Aaron Dykes & Alex Jones--[Infowars.com](http://www.infowars.com)--November 12, 2010**

In a hastily-called emergency meeting at the White House today, [DHS director Janet Napolitano told](#) representatives from the most powerful pilot’s union as well as the travel & tourism lobbies that [despite the backlash](#) of concerns about airport security, TSA’s use of invasive body scanners and humiliating patdowns, including the groping of passenger’s breasts and genitals, would continue. The frustrated executives were anything but satisfied by her statements.

<http://www.infowars.com/dhs-chief-to-pilot-tourism-reps-%e2%80%98scans-and-patdowns-will-continue%e2%80%99/>

---